arXiv:1403.3868v2 [math.GR] 2 Oct 2014

Hultman Numbers and Generalized Commuting Probability in Finite Groups

Yonah Cherniavsky, Vadim E. Levit, Robert Shwartz
Department of Computer Science and Mathematics
Ariel University, Israel
{yonah,levitv,robertsh}@ariel.ac.il

Avraham Goldstein
Department of Mathematics
City University of New York, NY, USA
avraham.goldstein.nyc@gmail.com

Abstract

Let G be a finite group and π be a permutation from S_n. We investigate the distribution of the probabilities of the equality

$$a_1a_2\cdots a_{n-1}a_n = a_{\pi_1}a_{\pi_2}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}a_{\pi_n}$$

when π varies over all the permutations in S_n. The probability

$$Pr_\pi(G) = Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_{n-1}a_n = a_{\pi_1}a_{\pi_2}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}a_{\pi_n})$$

is identical to $Pr_1^\omega(G)$, with

$$\omega = a_1a_2...a_{n-1}a_n a_{\pi_1}^{-1}a_{\pi_2}^{-1}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}^{-1}a_{\pi_n}^{-1},$$

as it is defined in [6] and [19]. The notion of commutativity degree, or the probability of a permutation equality $a_1a_2 = a_2a_1$, for which $n = 2$ and $\pi = \langle 2 \;\; 1\rangle$, was introduced and assessed by P. Erdös and P. Turan in [11] in 1968 and by W. H. Gustafson in [13] in 1973. In [13] Gustafson establishes a relation between the probability of $a_1, a_2 \in G$ commuting and the number of conjugacy classes in G. In this work we define several other parameters, which depend only on a certain interplay between the conjugacy classes of G, and compute the probabilities of general permutation equalities in terms of these parameters. It turns out that this probability, for a permutation π, depends only on the number $c(Gr(\pi))$ of the alternating cycles in the cycle graph $Gr(\pi)$ of π. The cycle graph of a permutation was introduced by V. Bafna and P. A. Pevzner in [1]. We describe the spectrum of the probabilities of permutation equalities in a finite group as π varies over all the elements of S_n. This spectrum turns-out to be closely related to the partition of $n!$ into a sum of the corresponding Hultman numbers.

Keywords: independent set; independence polynomial; palindromic polynomial; self-reciprocal polynomial; real root; perfect graph; corona.

MSC 2010 classification: 05C69, 05C76, 05C31.

1 Introduction

Study of the probability that two random elements in a finite group G commute is very natural [11], [13], [14]. In 1968 Erdös and Turan proved that

$$Pr(a_1a_2 = a_2a_1) > \frac{log(log|G|))}{|G|}.$$

In early 1970s Dixon observed that the commuting probability is $\leq \frac{1}{12}$ for every finite non-Abelian simple group (this was submitted as a problem in Canadian Mathematical Bulletin **13** (1970), with a solution appearing in 1973). In 1973 Gustafson proved that the commuting probability is equal to $\frac{k(G)}{|G|}$, where $k(G)$ is the number of conjugacy classes in G [13]. Based on this observation, further Gustafson obtained the upper bound of the commuting probability in any finite non-Abelian group to be $\frac{5}{8}$ [13]. This upper bound is actually attained in many finite groups, including D_4 and Q_8.

Significant amount of work has been done in assessing the commuting probability for various special cases of finite groups. For example, the commuting probability for dihedral groups is studied in [17], for direct product of dihedral groups is studied in [5], for wreath products of two Abelian groups is studied in [12], for non-solvable groups is studied in [14]. More information on the development of this subject and its applications may be found in [8].

There has been done a lot of various probabilistic studies for finite groups. Many of these studies can be regarded as various generalizations of the commuting probability problem. For example, establishing the number of ordered k-tuples of elements of group G which have pairwise commuting elements [10]. Another example of generalization is finding the probability that the commutator of two random group elements of G is equal to a given element [20] or that two random elements of G are conjugate [2].

In their recent works [19] and [6] Das and Nath study the probability $Pr_g^{\omega}(G)$ of the equality

$$a_1a_2...a_{n-1}a_na_{\pi_1}^{-1}a_{\pi_2}^{-1}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}^{-1}a_{\pi_n}^{-1} = g$$

in a finite group G. The word

$$a_1a_2...a_{n-1}a_na_{\pi_1}^{-1}a_{\pi_2}^{-1}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}^{-1}a_{\pi_n}^{-1},$$

in which $a_1a_2...a_{n-1}a_n$ vary over all the elements of G, is denoted by ω. Thus this is a generalization of the classical study of the commuting probability, for which case $\omega = a_1a_2a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}$ and $g = 1$.

In this paper we take a sligthly different approach in generalizing the study of the commuting probability. Let

$$\pi = \langle \pi_1 \ \ \pi_2 \ \ ... \ \ \pi_n \rangle$$

be a permutation from S_n, written in a shortened way of the two row notation. We define $Pr_{\pi}(G)$ as the probability of the equality

$$a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\pi_1}a_{\pi_2}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}a_{\pi_n}$$

in G. Notice that $Pr_{\langle 2 \ \ 1 \rangle}(G)$ is just the commuting probability of G.

Notice also, that the probability

$$Pr_\pi(G) = Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_{n-1}a_n = a_{\pi_1}a_{\pi_2}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}a_{\pi_n})$$

is identical to $Pr_1^\omega(G)$, with

$$\omega = a_1a_2...a_{n-1}a_na_{\pi_1}^{-1}a_{\pi_2}^{-1}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}^{-1}a_{\pi_n}^{-1},$$

as it is defined in [6] and [19].

- We obtain a new description of $Pr_\pi(G)$ in terms of non-negative integers $c_{i_1,...,i_n;j}(G)$, which count the number of ways in which an element from a conjugacy class Ω_j of G can be broken into a product of elements from the conjugacy classes $\Omega_{1_1}, ..., \Omega_{i_n}$ of G.
- We show that the probability Pr, for a fixed group G, depends only on the number of alternating cycles in the cycle graph of the permutation π.
- Finally, we obtain that spectrum of the probabilities of permutation equalities in a finite group, as π varies over all the elements of S_n, is closely related to the partition of $n!$ into a sum of the corresponding Hultman numbers.

1.1 Definitions and Notations

Let n be a natural number, S_n be the group of all permutations of n elements and

$$\pi = \langle \pi_1 \ \ \pi_2 \ \ ... \ \ \pi_n \rangle$$

be a permutation from S_n, written in a shortened way of the two row notation. Sometimes we also use the cyclic notation for elements of S_n, for which we use parentheses and commas. Thus, for example, $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ represents the cycle $\theta_1 \mapsto \theta_2 \mapsto \theta_3 \mapsto \theta_1$. Let G be a finite group.

For any set S, we denote the size of S by $|S|$. The number of conjugacy classes of G is denoted by $c(G)$. We denote them by $\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_{c(G)}$. For $g \in G$ we denote the conjugacy class of g, i.e. the set of all the elements of the form hgh^{-1}, where $h \in G$, by $\Omega(g)$ and the centralizer of g, i.e. the set of all elements of G which commute with g, by $C_G(g)$. Recall, that

$$|G| = |\Omega(g)| \cdot |C_G(g)|$$

for all g. The set $\{\Omega_1, ..., \Omega_{c(G)}\}$ is denoted by $C(G)$. We denote by $G'$the commutator subgroup of G. Namely, G' is the minimal normal subgroup of G which contains all the elements of the form $ghg^{-1}h^{-1}$, where $g, h \in G$. For $g, h \in G$, we denote by $g(h)$ the element ghg^{-1}. To indicate that $g, h \in G$ are conjugate we write $g \sim h$.

By D_4 we denote the dihedral group with 8 elements. By Q_8 we denote the multiplicative group of unit quaternions, which also has 8 elements in it.

Definition 1.1 *For a sequence $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$ of n elements of G we denote by $Stab.Prod_n(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$ the set of all the sequences $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ of n elements of G such that*

$$a_1^{-1}g_1a_1 \cdot a_2^{-1}g_2a_2 \cdots a_n^{-1}g_na_n = g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdots g_n.$$

Notice that $Stab.Prod_n(g_1, g_2, ..., g_n)$ is a generalization of the centralizer of an element and $Stab.Prod_1(g)$ is just $C_G(g)$.

Definition 1.2 *The nonnegative integer $c_{i_1,...,i_n;j}(G)$ is the number of different ways of breaking any fixed element $y \in \Omega_j(G)$ into a product $y = x_1x_2\cdots x_n$, so that each x_t, where $1 \leq t \leq n$, belongs to the class $\Omega_{i_t}(G)$.*

Notice, that $c_{i_1,...,i_n;j}(G)$ does not depend on the choice of the element $y \in \Omega_j(G)$. Indeed, if we take some other $y' \in \Omega_j(G)$ then exists some $g \in G$ such that $y' = gyg^{-1}$ and $y = g^{-1}y'g$. Then each product $y = x_1x_2\cdots x_n$ corresponds to the product

$$y' = gyg^{-1} = (gx_1g^{-1})(gx_2g^{-1})\cdots(gx_ng^{-1}),$$

in which each $x'_t = (gx_tg^{-1})$ belongs to the same class $\Omega_{i_t}(G)$ as x_t. Vice verse, each product $y' = x'_1x'_2\cdots x'_n$ corresponds back to the product

$$y = g^{-1}y'g = (g^{-1}x'_1g)(g^{-1}x'_2g)\cdots(g^{-1}x'_ng).$$

Thus, we see that the number of such different products is the same for y and $y\prime$ and that it depends only on the equivalence class $\Omega_j(G)$. Notice, that $c_{i_1,...,i_n;j}(G)$ can be zero and that $c_{j;j}(G) = 1$.

Definition 1.3 *We denote by $L_\pi(G)$ the number of different solutions of the equation*

$$a_1a_2\cdots a_{n-1}a_n = a_{\pi_1}a_{\pi_2}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}a_{\pi_n}$$

in G. We denote by by $Pr_\pi(G)$ the probability

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_{n-1}a_n = a_{\pi_1}a_{\pi_2}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}a_{\pi_n})$$

that the equation

$$a_1a_2\cdots a_{n-1}a_n = a_{\pi_1}a_{\pi_2}\cdots a_{\pi_{n-1}}a_{\pi_n}$$

is satisfied by the random elements $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-1}, a_n$ of G.

Clearly, $Pr_\pi(G) = \frac{L_\pi(G)}{|G|^n}$.

Definition 1.4 *We define $Pr^n(G)$ as $Pr_{\langle n\ \ n-1\ \ ...\ \ 2\ \ 1\rangle}(G)$.*

Let π be a permutation from S_n and let

$$\omega = a_1\cdots a_n \cdot a_{\pi_1}^{-1}\cdots a_{\pi_n}^{-1}.$$

Then $Pr_\pi(G)$ is identical to $Pr_1^\omega(G)$, as defined in [6], [7], [19]. Similarly, our $Pr^n(G)$ is identical to $Pr_1^n(G)$ in these works. For further information on calculations, properties and estimates of $Pr_1^\omega(G)$ and $Pr_1^n(G)$ we refer te reader to [6], [7], [19] as well.

Definition 1.5 *We denote by $Spec_n(G)$ the set of all $Pr_\pi(G)$, as π runs over all the permutations from S_n.*

For the information on the Hultman numbers and the related definitions and notations we refer to [9]. Here we briefly review these notions.

Definition 1.6 *The cycle graph $Gr(\phi)$ of a permutation $\phi \in S_n$ is the bi-colored directed graph*

with $n+1$ vertices $\phi_0 = 0, \phi_1, ..., \phi_n$, whose edge set consists of:

- black edges $\phi_n \to \phi_{n-1} \to ... \to \phi_0 \to \phi_n$, and
- grey edges $0 \dashrightarrow 1 \dashrightarrow 2 \dashrightarrow ... \dashrightarrow n \dashrightarrow 0$.

The set of black and grey edges decomposes in a unique way into edge-disjoint alternating cycles - the cycles in $Gr(\phi)$, which alternate black and grey edges.

Definition 1.7 *The Hultman number $S_H(n,k)$ counts the number of permutations in S_n whose cycle graph decomposes into k alternating cycles.*

Definition 1.8 *Denote by $\phi^{\cdot}$ the big cycle in $S(1+n) = Sym(\{0,1,2,...,n\})$, composed of the black arrows of $Gr(\phi)$.*

We will use the cyclic notation $(\phi_0, \phi_n, \phi_{n-1}, ..., \phi_2, \phi_1)$ for the big black cycle $\phi^{\cdot}$. Notice, that there is a trivial one-to-one correspondence between the permutations of S_n and big cycles in $S(1+n)$. Namely, the entries of a big cycle, starting from the one after 0, are interpreted as the entries of the permutation, written in the shortened way of the two row notation. Thus, for any big cycle in $S(1+n)$, we can easily obtain the unique permutation in S_n, for which this big cycle is its big black cycle.

Definition 1.9 *Let $\phi^{\circ} \in S(1+n)$ be $\phi^{\cdot} \cdot (0, 1, \ldots, n)$.*

Let $H(S_n)$ be the Hultman decomposition of S_n into pairwise disjoint sets, each containing all the permutations with the same number of alternating cycles in their cycle graph.

Theorem 1.10 *[9] The cycle decomposition of ϕ° contains the same number of cycles as the number of alternating cycles of $Gr(\phi)$.*

Notice, that for any permutation ϕ and any $m = 0, 1, ..., n$, we cannot have $\phi^{\circ}(m) = m+1$, since otherwise we would get

$$\phi^{\cdot}(x+1) = \left[\phi^{\circ} \cdot (n, n-1, \ldots, 0)\right](x+1) = x+1,$$

which is contradiction to ϕ° being an $(n+1)$-cycle.
Let $\phi \in S_n$ be a permutation.

Definition 1.11 *For any four numbers $0 \le x, y, w, z \le n$, such that $z \to x \dashrightarrow x+1 \to y$ and $y \to w$ are present in some cycles of $Gr(\phi)$, the $x--y$ exchange operation is defined as follows:*

- *if $x = w$ or $y = z$ then $x - -y$ exchange operation does not do anything to ϕ;*
- *if $y = \phi_i$ and $x = \phi_j$ and $x + 1 = \phi_{i+1}$, where $i + 1 < j$, then $x - -y$ exchange operation changes*
  $\langle \phi_1 \ ... \ \phi_{i-1} \ \phi_i \ \phi_{i+1} \ ...\phi_{j-1} \ \phi_j \ ...\phi_n \rangle$ to $\langle \phi_1 \ ... \ \phi_{i-1} \ \phi_{i+1} \ ...\phi_j \ \phi_i \ \phi_{j+1} \ ...\phi_n \rangle$;
- *if $y = \phi_i$ and $x = \phi_j$ and $x+1 = \phi_{i+1}$, where $j < i$, then $x - -y$ exchange operation changes*
  $\langle \phi_1 \ ... \ \phi_j \ \phi_{j+1} \ ...\phi_{i-1} \ \phi_i \ \phi_{i+1} \ ...\phi_n \rangle$ to $\langle \phi_1 \ ... \ \phi_j \ \phi_i \ \phi_{j+1} \ ...\phi_{i-1} \ \phi_{i+1} \ ...\phi_n \rangle$;
- *if $y = 0$ and $x = \phi_j$ and $x + 1 = \phi_1$, then $x - -y$ exchange operation changes*
  $\langle \phi_1 \ ... \ \phi_{j-1} \ \phi_j \ \phi_{j+1} \ ...\phi_n \rangle$ to $\langle \phi_{j+1} \ \phi_{j+2} \ ...\phi_n \ \phi_1 \ ...\phi_{j-1} \ \phi_j \rangle$.

Example 1.12 *For instance,*

- *If $\phi = \langle 4 \ 1 \ 6 \ 2 \ 5 \ 7 \ 3 \rangle$, and we apply $5 - -1$ exchange operation, we get the permutation $\phi_{[5--1]} = \langle 4 \ 6 \ 2 \ 5 \ 1 \ 7 \ 3 \rangle$.*
- *If $\phi = \langle 4 \ 1 \ 6 \ 2 \ 5 \ 7 \ 3 \rangle$ and we apply $4 - -2$ exchange operation, we get the permutation $\phi_{[4--2]} = \langle 4 \ 2 \ 1 \ 6 \ 5 \ 7 \ 3 \rangle$.*
- *If $\phi = \langle 4 \ 6 \ 1 \ 2 \ 5 \ 7 \ 3 \rangle$ and we apply $0 - -6$ exchange operation, we get the permutation $\phi_{[0--6]} = \langle 6 \ 4 \ 1 \ 2 \ 5 \ 7 \ 3 \rangle$.*
- *If $\phi = \langle 4 \ 1 \ 6 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7 \ 2 \rangle$ and we apply $3 - -0$ exchange operation, we get the permutation $\phi_{[3--0]} = \langle 5 \ 7 \ 2 \ 4 \ 1 \ 6 \ 3 \rangle$.*

Notice, that in the alternating cycles of the cycle graph of the new permutation, obtained after performing the $x - -y$ exchange operation, we will have $y \to x \dashrightarrow x + 1 \to w$ and $z \to y$.

Definition 1.13 *Two permutation $\phi, \theta \in S_n$ are in the same "$x - -y$ exchange orbit" if exist permutations $\tau_1, ..., \tau_k$ such that $\tau_1 = \phi$, $\tau_k = \theta$, and, for each $i = 1, ..., k-1$, either τ_i can be obtained from τ_{i+1} by an $x - -y$ exchange operation or τ_{i+1} can be obtained from τ_i by an $x - -y$ exchange operation.*

Notice, that according to our definition, $\phi, \theta \in S_n$ can be in the same $x - -y$ exchange orbit, while neither one of them can be obtained from the other one by several $x - -y$ exchange operations.

Definition 1.14 *For $\phi \in S_n$, such that the big black cycle $\phi^{\cdot} \in S(1 + n)$ contains $(x+1) \to y \to x$, which, if $x = n$, becomes $0 \to y \to n$, we define $x - -y$ cyclic operation as follows:*

- *If $y > x+1$, then in $\phi^{\cdot}$ we replace $x+1$ with $y-1$ and each t, where $t = x+2, ..., y-1$, we replace with $t - 1$;*
- *If $y < x$, then in $\phi^{\cdot}$ we replace x with $y + 1$ and each t, for $t = y + 1, ..., x - 1$, we replace with $t + 1$;*

Example 1.15 *For instance,*

- *If* $\phi = \langle 6\ \ 5\ \ 3\ \ 1\ \ 4\ \ 2 \rangle$, *then* $\phi^{\cdot} = (0, 2, 4, 1, 3, 5, 6)$. *We can perform* $1 - -4$ *cyclic operation on* ϕ *and obtain a new permutation* θ. *We have* $\theta^{\cdot} = (0, 3, 4, 1, 2, 5, 6)$. *Hence,* $\theta = \langle 6\ \ 5\ \ 2\ \ 1\ \ 4\ \ 3 \rangle$.
- *If* $\phi = \langle 4\ \ 1\ \ 5\ \ 2\ \ 6\ \ 3 \rangle$, *then* $\phi^{\cdot} = (0, 3, 6, 2, 5, 1, 4)$. *We can perform* $5 - -2$ *cyclic operation on* ϕ *and obtain a new permutation* θ. *We have* $\theta^{\cdot} = (0, 4, 6, 2, 3, 1, 5)$. *Hence,* $\theta = \langle 5\ \ 1\ \ 3\ \ 2\ \ 6\ \ 4 \rangle$.
- *If* $\phi = \langle 4\ \ 1\ \ 5\ \ 2\ \ 6\ \ 3 \rangle$, *then* $\phi^{\cdot} = (0, 3, 6, 2, 5, 1, 4)$. *We can perform* $0 - -4$ *cyclic operation on* ϕ *and obtain a new permutation* θ. *We have* $\theta^{\cdot} = (0, 2, 6, 1, 5, 3, 4)$. *Hence,* $\theta = \langle 4\ \ 3\ \ 5\ \ 1\ \ 6\ \ 2 \rangle$.
- *If* $\phi = \langle 4\ \ 1\ \ 5\ \ 2\ \ 6\ \ 3 \rangle$, *then* $\phi^{\cdot} = (0, 3, 6, 2, 5, 1, 4)$. *We can perform* $6 - -3$ *cyclic operation on* ϕ *and obtain a new permutation* θ. *We have* $\theta^{\cdot} = (0, 3, 4, 2, 6, 1, 5)$. *Hence,* $\theta = \langle 4\ \ 3\ \ 5\ \ 1\ \ 6\ \ 2 \rangle$.
- *If* $\phi = \langle 4\ \ 1\ \ 5\ \ 2\ \ 6\ \ 3 \rangle$, *then* $\phi^{\cdot} = (0, 3, 6, 2, 5, 1, 4)$. *We can perform* $3 - -0$ *cyclic operation on* ϕ *and obtain a new permutation* θ. *We have* $\theta^{\cdot} = (0, 1, 6, 3, 5, 2, 4)$. *Hence,* $\theta = \langle 4\ \ 2\ \ 5\ \ 3\ \ 6\ \ 1 \rangle$.

Definition 1.16 *Two permutation* $\phi, \theta \in S_n$ *are in the same "*$x - -y$ *cyclic orbit" if exist permutations* $\tau_1, ..., \tau_k$ *such that* $\tau_1 = \phi$, $\tau_k = \theta$, *and, for each* $i = 1, ..., k-1$, *either* τ_i *can be obtained from* τ_{i+1} *by an* $x - -y$ *cyclic operation or* τ_{i+1} *can be obtained from* τ_i *by an* $x - -y$ *cyclic operation.*

Notice, that according to our definition, $\phi, \theta \in S_n$ can be in the same $x - -y$ exchange orbit, while neither one of them can be obtained from the other one by several $x - -y$ cyclic operations.

Notice, that belonging to "the same orbit" extends both $x - -y$ exchange and $x - -y$ cyclic operations to equivalence relations.

Definition 1.17 *Two permutation* $\phi, \theta \in S_n$ *are called "*$x - -y$ *equivalent" if exist permutations* $\tau_1, ..., \tau_k$ *such that* $\tau_1 = \phi$, $\tau_k = \theta$, *and, for each* $i = 1, ..., k-1$, τ_i *and* τ_{i+1} *are in the same* $x - -y$ *exchange orbit or in the same* $x - -y$ *cyclic orbit.*

Lemma 1.18 *Let* $\phi \in S_n$ *be such that* $\phi^{\cdot} \in S(1+n)$ *is of the form* $(..., x+1, y, x, ...)$. *Let* $\theta \in S_n$ *be obtained from* ϕ *by an* $x - -y$ *cyclic operation. Then* ϕ° *is transformed by that* $x - -y$ *cyclic operation to* θ° *in the following way: First write* $\phi^{\circ} \in S(1+n)$ *in the cyclic notation. Then:*

1. *If* $y > x + 1$*:*
   - *Instead of* $x + 1$ *write the pair* $y - 1, x$ *(replace* x *in a cycle, which contains it, by* $y - 1 \mapsto x$*);*
   - *For all* $t = x + 2, ..., y - 2$, *instead of* t *write* $t - 1$*;*

- *Instead of the pair $y-1, x$ write $y-2$ (replace $y-1 \mapsto x$ in a cycle, which contains it, by y).*

2. *If $y < x$:*

   - *Instead of the pair x, y write $y+1$ (replace $x \mapsto y$ in a cycle, which contains it, by $y+1$);*
   - *For all $t = y+2, ..., x-2$, instead of t write $t+1$;*
   - *Instead of $x-1$ write the pair x, y (replace $x-1$ in a cycle, which contains it, by $x \mapsto y$).*

Proof. Definition 1.14 implies that the permutation $\phi^{\circ} = \phi^{\cdot} \cdot (0, 1, ..., n)$ has a cycle, which contains

$$x \mapsto y = x \dashrightarrow (x+1) \to y,$$

and a cycle, which contains

$$y-1 \mapsto x = (y-1) \dashrightarrow y \to x.$$

Thus, ϕ° has a cycle, which contains $y-1 \mapsto x \mapsto y$.

If $y > x+1$ then, to obtain $\theta^{\cdot}$, we replaced $x+1$ in $\phi^{\cdot}$ with $y-1$, and each t in $\phi^{\cdot}$, for $t = x+2, ..., y-1$, we replaced with $t-1$. Let us consider just the following two replacements in $\phi^{\cdot}$ – of $x+1$ with $y-1$ and of $x+2$ with $x+1$ (without, for now, performing all the other replacements). Assume, that before these two replacements, for some elements u, v of $\phi^{\cdot}$, we had $u \to (x+1)$ and $(x+2) \to v$ in $\phi^{\cdot}$. Then ϕ°, before performing these two replacements, had a cycle, which contained

$$(u-1) \mapsto (x+1) \mapsto v.$$

Replacing in that cycle $x+1$ with $y-1$ and $x+2$ with $x+1$ will change

$$(u-1) \mapsto (x+1) \mapsto v$$

to

$$(u-1) \mapsto (y-1) \mapsto x \mapsto v.$$

A careful consideration of how all the other replacements affect the cycles of ϕ°, inside which they are performed, yields our Lemma for the case $y > x+1$.

If $y < x$ then, to obtain $\theta^{\cdot}$, we replaced we replaced x in $\phi^{\cdot}$ with $y+1$, and each t, for $t = y+1, ..., x-1$, we replaced with $t+1$. Let's consider just the following two replacements in $\phi^{\cdot}$ – of x with $y+1$ and of $y+1$ with $y+2$ (without, for now, performing all the other replacements). Assume, that before these two replacements, for some element v of $\phi^{\cdot}$, we had $(y+1) \to v$ in $\phi^{\cdot}$. Then ϕ°, before performing these two replacements, had a cycle, which contained

$$(y-1) \mapsto x \mapsto y \mapsto v.$$

Replacing in that cycle x with $y+1$ and $y+1$ with $y+1$ will change

$$y-1 \mapsto x \mapsto y \mapsto v$$

in that cycle to

$$y-1 \mapsto y+1 \mapsto v.$$

A careful consideration of how all the other replacements affect the cycles of ϕ°, inside which they are performed, yields our Lemma for the case $y < x$. ■

Definition 1.19 *[15] Two groups G_1 and G_2 are called isoclinic, if the following three conditions holds*

- *There exists an isomorphism α from $G_1/Z(G_1)$ onto $G_2/Z(G_2)$;*
- *There exists an isomorphism β from the commutator subgroup G_1' to the commutator subgroup G_2';*
- *If $\alpha(a_1Z_1) = a_2Z_2$, and $\alpha(b_1Z_1) = b_2Z_2$, then necessarily*

$$\beta(a_1^{-1}b_1^{-1}a_1b_1) = a_2^{-1}b_2^{-1}a_2b_2.$$

For instance, every two abelian groups are isoclinic. The Dihedral group D_4 and the Quaternion group Q_8 give an example of isoclinism for non-abelian groups.

Definition 1.20 *[3] Two groups G_1 and G_2 are called weakly isoclinic, if the first two conditions of Definition 1.19 holds true, but the third condition of Definition 1.19 does not necessarily hold.*

2 Preliminaries

The following well-known results, which we reproduce in the Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, are crucial for our work.

Lemma 2.1 *For any $a, b \in G$ we have $ab \sim ba$.*

Proof. $b(ab)b^{-1} = babb^{-1} = ba$. ■

Lemma 2.2 *For any x and y from the same conjugacy class Ω_i of G there are*

$$\frac{|G|}{|\Omega_i|} = |C_G(x)| = |C_G(y)|$$

different ways to break x into a product $x = ab$ of elements $a, b \in G$ so that $ba = y$.

Proof. Since $x \sim y$ there exists some $b \in G$ such that $bxb^{-1} = y$. If we set $a = xb^{-1}$, we get $ab = xb^{-1}b = x$ and $ba = bxb^{-1} = y$. For each pair $a\prime, b\prime$ of elements of G, such that $a'b' = x$, there exists a unique element $g = b'b^{-1} = (a')^{-1}a$ of G, such that $a' = ag^{-1}$ and $b' = gb$. Now

$$b\prime a\prime = gbag^{-1} = gyg^{-1}.$$

Hence, the pairs a', b' of elements of G such that $a'b' = x$ and $b'a' = y$, are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements g from $C_G(Y)$. So, the number of pairs a', b' of elements of G ,such that $a'b' = x$ and $b'a' = y$, is equal to $|C_G(y)|$. But

$$|C_G(y)| = \frac{|G|}{|\Omega_i|} = |C_G(x)|.$$

■

The classical result on the commute probability (see [13]) follows immediately.

Theorem 2.3 $Pr^2(G) = Pr(a_1a_2 = a_2a_1) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}$.

Proof. For each $x \in G$ there are exactly $\frac{|G|}{|\Omega(x)|}$ different ways to write $ab = x = ba$, where $a, b \in G$. Thus, for each Ω_i there are $|G|$ different ways to write $ab = x = ba$, where $a, b \in G$ and $x \in \Omega(i)$. Indeed, there are $|\Omega(x)|$ different elements in Ω_i and for each one of them there are $\frac{|G|}{|\Omega(x)|}$ different ways to break them into a product of commuting elements. Thus, $L_{\langle 2\ \ 1\rangle}(G) = |G| \cdot c(G)$ and

$$Pr^2(G) = \frac{|G| \cdot c(G)}{|G|^2} = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}.$$

■

3 Calculation of $Spec_4(G)$

Before addressing the general case in the following sections, we study here the spectrum of probabilities for permutations from S_4. The material in this section is self-contained and will help to illustrate the general case. We begin with the following Lemma, which is a particular case of the general fact, observed by Das and Nath [7], that if

$$\omega_1 = a_1a_2...a_{2n}a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}\cdots a_{2n}^{-1}$$

and

$$\omega_2 = a_1a_2...a_{2n+1}a_1^{-1}a_2^{-1}\cdots a_{2n+1}^{-1}$$

then $Pr_g^{\omega_1}(G) = Pr_g^{\omega_2}(G)$.

Lemma 3.1 $Pr^3(G) = Pr_{\langle 3\ \ 2\ \ 1\rangle}(G) = Pr(abc = cba) = Pr^2(G) = \frac{|c(G)|}{|G|}$.

Proof. From $abc = cba$, we get $abcb = cbab$. Thus, the commutator $[cb, ab] = 1$. Therefore, if we choose and fix an element b, then the two elements a and c should be chosen in a such way that $[ab, cb] = 1$. Hence, for every of an element a, we must choose an element c in such a way, that $cb \in C_G(ab)$. Since G is a group, the number of different elements of the for $ab \in G$, for a fixed b, is $|G|$. Similarly, the number of different elements of the form cb in each $C_G(ab)$ is $|C_G(ab)|$. Since b runs through all elements of G, we get

$$L_{\langle 3\ \ 2\ \ 1\rangle}(G) = |G| \cdot \sum_{a \in G} |C_G(a)| = |G|^2 \cdot |C(G)|.$$

This implies

$$Pr_{\langle 3\ \ 2\ \ 1\rangle}(G) = \frac{|C(G)|}{|G|} = Pr_{\langle 2\ \ 1\rangle}(G).$$

■

Notice, that for all the five non-trivial permutations from S_3 we get the same probability for the corresponding permutational equality. Also observe, that these five permutations have two alternating cycles in their cycle graph, while the identity permutation has four alternating cycles in its cycle graph.

Theorem 3.2 *$Spec_3(G) = \{\frac{c(G)}{|G|}, 1\}$, where $Pr(a_1a_2a_3 = a_{\pi_1}a_{\pi_2}a_{\pi_3}) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}$ for every $\pi \neq Id$ in S_3.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $Pr(a_1a_2a_3 = a_3a_2a_1) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}$. Clearly,

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3 = a_2a_1a_3) = Pr(a_1a_2 = a_2a_1) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}$$

and

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3 = a_1a_3a_2) = Pr(a_2a_3 = a_3a_2) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}.$$

To compute $Pr(a_1a_2a_3 = a_3a_1a_2)$ notice that if we denote the product a_1a_2 by g then, as a_1 and a_2 run through all elements of G, their product g will become equal to every element of G exactly $|G|$ times. Thus, the number $L(a_1a_2a_3 = a_3a_1a_2)$ of different solutions of the equation $a_1a_2a_3 = a_3a_1a_2$ in G is $|G|$ times the number of different solutions of equation $ga_3 = a_3g$. Thus

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3 = a_3a_1a_2) = \frac{|G| \cdot |G| \cdot c(G)}{|G|^3} = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}.$$

The same argument, but with denoting $g = a_2a_3$, shows that

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3 = a_2a_3a_1) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}.$$

Obviously, $Pr(a_1a_2a_3 = a_1a_2a_3) = 1$. ■

Lemma 3.3 *For any non-identity permutation $\pi \in S_4$, if $\pi_1 = 1$ or $\pi_4 = 4$, then $Pr_\pi(G) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}$.*

Proof. If $\pi_1 = 1$ then the equation $a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_{\pi_1}a_{\pi_2}a_{\pi_3}a_{\pi_4}$ is equivalent to the equation $a_2a_3a_4 = a_{\pi_2}a_{\pi_3}a_{\pi_4}$. And, by Theorem 3.2, there are $|G|^2 \cdot c(G)$ different equations $a_2a_3a_4 = a_{\pi_2}a_{\pi_3}a_{\pi_4}$ in G. To each one of these equations corresponds exactly $|G|$ different equations of the form $a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_{\pi_1}a_{\pi_2}a_{\pi_3}a_{\pi_4}$ (since a_1 can be any element of G). Thus $L_\pi(G) = |G|^3 \cdot c(G)$ and $Pr_\pi(G) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}$. The same argument, but with a_4 instead of a_1, is applied for $\pi_4 = 4$. ■

Lemma 3.4 *For any non-identity permutation $\pi \in S_4$, if $\pi_{i+1} = \pi_1 + 1$ (where $4 + 1$ becomes 1), for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$, then $Pr_\pi(G) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}$.*

Proof. The condition $\pi_{i+1} = \pi_i + 1$ means that π keeps two consequent numbers π_i and $\pi_i + 1$ in their consequent order. Such are, for example, the permutations $\langle 4\ \ 3\ \ 1\ \ 2\rangle, \langle 3\ \ 4\ \ 1\ \ 2\rangle$,
$\langle 2\ \ 3\ \ 4\ \ 1\rangle, \langle 4\ \ 2\ \ 3\ \ 1\rangle, \langle 4\ \ 1\ \ 2\ \ 3\rangle$. Denote the product $a_{\pi_i}a_{\pi_{i+1}}$ by g. Then, as a_{π_i} and $a_{\pi_{i+1}}$ run over all elements of G, the product g will become equal to each element of G exactly $|G|$ times. By Theorem 3.1 the new equation (with g instead of $a_{\pi_i}a_{\pi_{i+1}}$) has exactly $|G|^2 \cdot c(G)$ solutions. But each solution is obtained G times, as a_{π_i} and $a_{\pi_{i+1}}$ run over all elements of G. Thus $L_\pi(G) = |G|^3 \cdot c(G)$ and $Pr_\pi(G) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}$. ■

We showed that for fifteen permutations $\pi \in S_4$, the probability of the corresponding equality satisfies $Pr_\pi(G) = \frac{c(G)}{|G|}$. Notice, that these fifteen permutations have exactly three alternating cycles in their cycle graph $Gr(\pi)$. Clearly, for the identity permutation, which has five alternating cycles in its cycle graph, the probability of the corresponding equality is 1. Now we will show that the remaining eight permutations in S_4, which have one alternating cycle in their cycle graph, their corresponding equalities all have the same probability, which, in general, is different from 1 and from $\frac{c(G)}{|G|}$.

Theorem 3.5

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_1a_4a_3) = Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_3a_2a_1) = Pr^4(G) =$$
$$= \frac{\sum\limits_{x,y\in G} |Stab.Prod_2(x,y)|}{|G|^4} = \sum_{i,k,j=1}^{c(G)} \frac{|\Omega_j| \cdot c^2_{i,k;j}(G)}{|\Omega_i| \cdot |\Omega_k| \cdot |G|^2} = \sum_{i,k,j=1}^{c(G)} \frac{|\Omega_j| \cdot c_{i,k;j}(G) \cdot c_{k,i;j}(G)}{|\Omega_i| \cdot |\Omega_k| \cdot |G|^2}.$$

Proof. Notice, that for any $x, y \in G$, the set of all ordered pairs (g, h) of elements of G, such that $xy = g^{-1}xgh^{-1}yh$, which was denoted by $Stab.Prod_2(x, y)$, has an alternative description as the set of all the ordered pairs $(g \in G, f^{-1} \in G)$, such that $gxyf = xgfy$.

Thus, for each ordered pair (x, y) of elements of G, $|Stab.Prod_2(x, y)|$ is the number of different equations $gxyf = xgfy$, where $g, h \in G$.

As x and y run over all elements of G, we obtain that the total number of different equations $gxyf = xgfy$ in G is $\sum\limits_{x,y\in G} |Stab.Prod_2(x, y)|$. Consequently,

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_1a_4a_3) = \frac{\sum\limits_{x,y\in G} |Stab.Prod_2(x,y)|}{|G|^4}.$$

Next, let us consider a generic equation $a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_3a_2a_1$. Denote the product a_1a_2 by x, the product a_2a_1 by x', the product a_3a_4 by y and the product a_4a_3 by y'. The equation $a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_3a_2a_1$ becomes $xy = y'x'$. Notice, that by Lemma 2.1, we have $x \sim x'$ and $y \sim y'$. Now consider any $x, x', y, y' \in G$, such that $x \sim x'$ and $y \sim y'$ and that $xy = y'x'$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, there are $\frac{|G|}{|\Omega_(x)|} = |C_G(x)|$ different ways of breaking x into a product a_1a_2 in such a way, that $x' = a_2a_1$. Also, by Lemma 2.2, there are $\frac{|G|}{|\Omega_(y)|} = |C_G(y)|$ different ways of breaking y into a product a_3a_4 in such a way, that $y' = a_4a_3$. Thus, to each fixed equation $xy = y'x'$ correspond $|C_G(x)| \cdot |C_G(y)|$ different equations $a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_3a_2a_1$.

Notice, that for any fixed $x, y \in G$, we can take $x'' = (y^{-1}xy)$ and obtain an equation $xy = y(y^{-1}xy) = yx''$. Now, for any equation $xy = y'x'$ as above, there exist some $g, h \in G$ such that $y' = hyh^{-1}$ and $x' = gx''g^{-1}$. Hence, if we select and fix x and y, we will have

$$\frac{|Stab.Prod_2(y, x'')|}{|C_G(y)| \cdot |C_G(x'')|}$$

different equations $xy = y'x'$, in which $x' \sim x$ and $y' \sim y$, and any two such equations are equal if and only if $h \in C_G(y)$ and $g \in C_G(x'')$. Notice, that $|C_G(x'')| = |C_G(x)|$. Thus, for each fixed ordered pair (x, y) of elements of G we have

$$\frac{|Stab.Prod_2(y, (y^{-1}xy))|}{|C_G(y)| \cdot |C_G(x)|}$$

different equations $xy = y'x'$. To each one of these equations correspond $|C_G(x)| \cdot |C_G(y)|$ different equations $a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_3a_2a_1$, as shown above. Thus, to each ordered pair x and y of elements of G correspond $|Stab.Prod_2(y, (y^{-1}xy))|$ different equations $a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_3a_2a_1$.

Thus to find $Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_3a_2a_1)$ we need to sum $|Stab.Prod_2(y, (y^{-1}xy))|$ over all $x, y \in G$. Since x and y run through all the elements of G, this is the same as to sum over all $u, v \in G$ the value $|Stab.Prod_2(u, v)|$. Thus, we obtain

$$L_{\langle 4\ 3\ 2\ 1\rangle}(G) = \sum_{x,y \in G} |Stab.Prod_2(x, y)|$$

and

$$Pr^4(G) = Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_3a_2a_1) =$$
$$= \frac{\sum\limits_{x,y \in G} |Stab.Prod_2(x, y)|}{|G|^4} = Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_1a_4a_3).$$

Now, select and fix an element z in some equivalence class Ω_j of G. For each (fixed) equation $xy = z = x'y'$ we have exactly $|C_G(x)| \cdot |C_G(y)|$ different equations

$$(a_1a_2)(a_3a_4) = xy = z = x'y' = (a_2a_1)(a_4a_3).$$

There are $|C_G(x)|$ different ways to break x into a product a_1a_2 in such a way that $a_2a_1 = x'$. Similarly, There are $|C_G(y)|$ different ways to break y into a product a_3a_4 in such a way that $a_4a_3 = y'$. It is satisfied:

$$|C_G(x)| \cdot |C_G(y)| = \frac{|G|^2}{|\Omega(x)| \cdot |\Omega(y)|}.$$

There are $c_{i,k;j}(G)$ different ways to break z as xy and $c_{i,k;j}(G)$ different ways to break z as $x'y'$. Thus, for each pair Ω_i, Ω_k of conjugacy classes of G, there are $c^2_{i,k;j}(G)$ different equations $xy = z = x'y'$ with $x, x' \in \Omega_i$ and $y, y' \in \Omega_k$. Thus we obtain

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_1a_4a_3) = \sum_{i,k,j=1}^{c(G)} \frac{|\Omega_j| \cdot c^2_{i,k;j}(G)}{|\Omega_i| \cdot |\Omega_k| \cdot |G|^2}.$$

Finally, select and fix an element z in some equivalence class Ω_j of G. For each (fixed) equation $xy = z = y'x'$ we have exactly $|C_G(x)| \cdot |C_G(y)|$ different equations

$$(a_1a_2)(a_3a_4) = xy = z = y'x' = (a_4a_3)(a_2a_1).$$

and

$$|C_G(x)| \cdot |C_G(y)| = \frac{|G|^2}{|\Omega(x)| \cdot |\Omega(y)|}.$$

Now, for each pair Ω_i, Ω_k of conjugacy classes of G, there are $c_{i,k;j}(G) \cdot c_{k,i;j}(G)$ different equations $xy = z = y'x'$ with $x, x' \in \Omega_i$ and $y, y' \in \Omega_k$. Thus we obtain

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_3a_2a_1) = \sum_{i,k,j=1}^{c(G)} \frac{|\Omega_j| \cdot c_{i,k;j}(G) \cdot c_{k,i;j}(G)}{|\Omega_i| \cdot |\Omega_k| \cdot |G|^2}.$$

■

Theorem 3.6 *The following are valid:*

- $Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_3a_2a_4a_1) = Pr^4(G)$;
- $Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_2a_1a_3) = Pr^4(G)$;
- $Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_4a_3a_1) = Pr^4(G)$;
- $Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_1a_3a_2) = Pr^4(G)$.

Proof.

$$Pr^4(G) = Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_3a_2a_1) =$$
$$Pr({a_4}^{-1}a_1a_2a_3a_4a_1^{-1} = {a_2}^{-1}a_2a_3a_2) = Pr(t^{-1}s^{-1}xts = u^{-1}xu),$$

where $t = a_4$, $s = {a_1}^{-1}$, $x = a_2a_3$, and $u = a_2$. Now,

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_3a_2a_4a_1) = Pr(a_2a_3a_4 = {a_1}^{-1}a_3a_2a_4a_1) =$$
$$Pr({a_4}^{-1}a_2a_3a_4 = {a_4}^{-1}{a_1}^{-1}a_3a_2a_4a_1) =$$
$$Pr\left({a_4}^{-1}{a_3}^{-1}a_3a_2a_3a_4 = {a_4}^{-1}{a_1}^{-1}a_3a_2a_4a_1\right) = Pr((t')^{-1}(s')^{-1}x't's' = (u')^{-1}x'u'),$$

where $t' = a_4'$, $s' = a_1$, $x' = a_3a_2$, $u' = a_3a_4$. Again, as a_2, a_3 and a_4 run through all elements of G, t', x' and u' also run through all elements of G and there is a one-to-one correspondence between choosing a_1, a_2 and a_3 and choosing t', x' and u'. Thus, we see that

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_3a_2a_4a_1) = Pr^4(G).$$

Notice, that $Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_3a_2a_4a_1)$ corresponds to the permutation $\langle 3 \;\; 2 \;\; 4 \;\; 1\rangle$, which, in the cyclic notation, is $(1,3,4)(2)$. The other three permutations, listed in this Theorem, are $(1,4,3)(2)$, $(1,2,4)(3)$, and $(1,4,2)(3)$. The result for $(1,2,4)(3)$ follows

by symmetry. The result for $(1,4,3)(2)$ is easily proved in a way, similar to our proof for $(1,3,4)(2)$. Namely,

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_4a_2a_1a_3) = Pr(a_4^{-1}a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_1) =$$
$$Pr({a_3}^{-1}{a_4}^{-1}a_1a_2a_3a_4 = {a_3}^{-1}a_2a_1a_3) =$$
$$Pr\left({a_3}^{-1}{a_4}^{-1}a_1a_2a_3a_4 = {a_3}^{-1}{a_1}^{-1}a_1a_2a_1a_3\right) = Pr((t')^{-1}(s')^{-1}x't's' = (u')^{-1}x'u'),$$

where $t' = a_3'$, $s' = a_4$, $x' = a_1a_2$, $u' = a_1a_3$. The result for $(1,4,2)(3)$ now follows by symmetry. ■

Theorem 3.7

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_4a_1a_3) = Pr^4(G)$$

and

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_3a_1a_4a_2) = Pr^4(G).$$

Proof. First, we claim that

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_4a_1a_3) = Pr(a_2^{-1}a_1a_2a_3a_4a_3^{-1} = a_4a_1) = Pr(t_1t_2t_3t_4 = t_4t_3t_2t_1),$$

where $t_1 = a_2^{-1}a_1$, $t_2 = a_2$, $t_3 = a_3a_4$ and $t_4 = a_3^{-1}$. Clearly, as a_1 and a_2 run through all elements of G, t_1 and t_2 also run through all elements of G and there is a one-to-one correspondence between choosing a_1 and a_2 and choosing t_1 and t_2. Similarly, as a_3 and a_4 run through all elements of G, t_3 and t_4 also run through all elements of G and there is a one-to-one correspondence between choosing a_3 and a_4 and choosing t_3 and t_4.

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_3a_1a_4a_2) = Pr(a_2a_3 = a_1^{-1}a_3a_1a_4a_2a_4^{-1}) = Pr(t_1t_2t_3t_4 = t_4t_3t_2t_1),$$

where $t_1 = a_4^{-1}$, $t_2 = a_4a_2$, $t_3 = a_1$ and $t_4 = a^{-1}a_3$. Again, as a_1 and a_3 run through all elements of G, t_1 and t_3 also run through all elements of G and there is a one-to-one correspondence between choosing a_1 and a_3 and choosing t_1 and t_3. Similarly, as a_2 and a_4 run through all elements of G, t_2 and t_4 also run through all elements of G and there is a one-to-one correspondence between choosing a_2 and a_4 and choosing t_2 and t_4. ■

Now we compute $Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_1a_4a_3) = Pr^4(G)$ for the cases $G = D_4$ and $G = Q_8$. These computations are made only to "justify" our Lemma 3.8 below. These results, but in a much more general form, and for a much larger variety of groups, were obtained in [6] and [19]. No matter $G = D_4$ or $G = Q_8$ we get that:

- $Pr^4(G) = \frac{17}{32}$, which is, actually, smaller than $Pr(a_1a_2 = a_2a_1) = \frac{5}{8}$;
- the center of the group consists of the identity 1 and another element c, such that $c^2 = 1$;
- the factor of the group by its center is Abelian.

Consequently, if $xy \neq yx$ then $xy = cyx$. Now, $a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_1a_4a_3$ either if $a_1a_2 = a_2a_1$ and $a_3a_4 = a_4a_3$, or if $a_1a_2 = ca_2a_1$ and $a_3a_4 = ca_4a_3$. We conclude with the claim that

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4 = a_2a_1a_4a_3) = Pr^4(G) = \frac{5}{8}\cdot\frac{5}{8} + \frac{3}{8}\cdot\frac{3}{8} = \frac{17}{32}$$

for for either D_4 or Q_8.

Lemma 3.8 $Spec_4(G) = \{1, \frac{|c(G)|}{|G|} = Pr^2(G), Pr_{\langle 4\ 3\ 2\ 1\ \rangle}(G) = Pr^4(G)\}$

Proof. The lemmas and theorems of this section established, that for any permutation from S_4, the corresponding probability is either 1 or $\frac{|c(G)|}{|G|}$ or $Pr^4(G)$.

The calculations for $G = D_4$ and $G = Q_8$ show, that these three numbers are pairwise different for these two groups. ■

Notice, that for any two permutations from S_2, S_3 or S_4, their permutational equalities have the same probability if and only if these permutations have the same number of alternating cycles in their cycle graphs. This fact was established above for permutations from S_3 and S_4, and is trivially verified for permutations from S_2. Consequently, the number of different permutations, corresponding to each probability in $Spec_2(G)$, $Spec_3(G)$ or $Spec_4(G)$, is exactly the Hultman number $S_H(n, k)$, where $n = 2, 3, 4$ and k is the number of alternating cycles in the cycle graphs of these permutations. Now we address the general case.

4 Probabilities of permutation equalities, number of alternating cycles and Hultman decomposition

Again, we refer to [9] for all the relevant information on cycle graphs, the Hultman decomposition, and Hultman numbers.

Theorem 4.1 *Let ϕ and θ be two permutations in S_n, such that θ is obtained from ϕ by an $x--y$ exchange operation. Then*

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1}a_{\phi_2}\cdots a_{\phi_n}) = Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\theta_1}a_{\theta_2}\cdots a_{\theta_n}).$$

Proof. If $x = y$ then $\theta = \phi$ and the Theorem follows. Hence, we assume that $x \neq y$.

First, we consider the case when $x, x+1, w, y, z$ all are $\neq 0$. The requirement that $z \to x \dashrightarrow x+1 \to y$ and $y \to w$ are present in $Gr(\phi)$ implies that in this case the product $a_{\phi_1}a_{\phi_2}\cdots a_{\phi_n}$ contains sub-products $a_wa_ya_{x+1}$ and a_xa_z. These two sub-products can "overlap" if and only if $z = w$.

If the sub-product $a_wa_ya_{x+1}$ appears before a_xa_z, then

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1}a_{\phi_2}\cdots a_{\phi_n})$$

can be written as

$$Pr(a_1\cdots a_xa_y^{-1}a'_{x+1}a_{x+2}\cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1}\cdots a_{\phi_r}a_wa'_{x+1}a_{\phi_{r+4}}\cdots a_{\phi_s}a_xa_za_{\phi_{s+3}}\cdots a_{\phi_n}), \quad (1)$$

where $a'_{x+1} = a_ya_{x+1}$. Since both $y \to x \dashrightarrow x+1 \to w$ and $z \to y$ are present in $Gr(\theta)$,

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\theta_1}a_{\theta_2}\cdots a_{\theta_n})$$

can be written as

$$Pr(a_1\cdots a'_xa_y^{-1}a_{x+1}a_{x+2}\cdots a_n = a_{\theta_1}\cdots a_{\theta_r}a_wa_{x+1}a_{\theta_{r+3}}\cdots a_{\theta_{s-1}}a'_xa_za_{\theta_{s+3}}\cdots a_{\theta_n}), \quad (2)$$

where $a'_x = a_x a_y$. Since $a_{\phi_i} = a_{\theta_i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $s+3 \leq i \leq n$, and $a\phi_i = a_{\theta_{i-1}}$, for $r+4 \leq i \leq s$, Equation 1 and Equation 2 have the same number of ordered n-tuples of elements of G as solutions. Hence, we obtain the statement of our Theorem.

If the sub-product $a_x a_z$ appears before $a_w a_y a_{x+1}$, then

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1} a_{\phi_2} \cdots a_{\phi_n})$$

can be written as

$$Pr(a_1 \cdots a_x a_y^{-1} a'_{x+1} a_{x+2} \cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1} \cdots a_{\phi_s} a_x a_z a_{\phi_{s+3}} \cdots a_{\phi_r} a_w a'_{x+1} a_{\phi_{r+4}} \cdots a_{\phi_n}), \quad (3)$$

where $a'_{x+1} = a_y a_{x+1}$. Since both $y \rightarrow x \dashrightarrow x+1 \rightarrow w$ and $z \rightarrow y$ are present in $Gr(\theta)$,

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\theta_1} a_{\theta_2} \cdots a_{\theta_n})$$

can be written as

$$Pr(a_1 \cdots a'_x a_y^{-1} a_{x+1} a_{x+2} \cdots a_n = a_{\theta_1} \cdots a_{\theta_s} a'_x a_z a_{\theta_{s+4}} \cdots a_{\theta_r} a_w a_{x+1} a_{\theta_{r+3}} \cdots a_{\theta_n}), \quad (4)$$

where $a'_x = a_x a_y$. Since $a_{\phi_i} = a_{\theta_i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $s+3 \leq i \leq n$, and $a\phi_i = a_{\theta_{i-1}}$, for $r+4 \leq i \leq s$, Equation 3 and Equation 4 have the same number of ordered n-tuples of elements of G as their solutions. Hence, we obtain the statement of our Theorem.

Second, we consider the case when $x = 0$. In this case $x+1 = 1$, $z = \phi_1 = \theta_1$ and $y = \theta_1$. Now,

$$Pr_\phi(G) = Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_z a_{\phi_2} a_{\phi_3} \cdots a_{\phi_r} a_w a_y a_1 a_{\phi_{r+4}} \cdots a_{\phi_n})$$

is equivalent to

$$Pr(a_y a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{z-1} {a_y}^{-1} a_y a_z a_{z+1} \cdots a_n = a_y a_z a_{\phi_2} a_{\phi_3} \cdots a_{\phi_r} a_w a_y a_1 a_{\phi_{r+4}} \cdots a_{\phi_n}),$$

which can be written as

$$Pr(a'_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_y a_z a_{\phi_2} a_{\phi_3} \cdots a_{\phi_r} a_w a'_1 a_{\phi_{r+4}} \cdots a_{\phi_n}), \quad (5)$$

where $a'_1 = a_y a_1$.

On the other hand,

$$Pr_\theta(G) = Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_y a_z a_{\theta_3} a_{\theta_4} \cdots a_{\theta_{r+1}} a_w a_1 a_{\theta_{r+4}} \cdots a_{\theta_n})$$

is equivalent to

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_y a_z a_{\theta_3} a_{\theta_4} \cdots a_{\theta_{r+1}} a_w a_1 a_{\theta_{r+4}} \cdots a_{\theta_n}). \quad (6)$$

Since $\theta_3 = \phi_2, ..., \theta_{r+1} = \phi_r$ and $\theta_{r+4} = \phi_{r+4}, ..., \theta_n = \phi_n$, Equation 5 and Equation 6 have the same number of ordered n-tuples of elements of G as their solutions. Hence, we obtain the statement of our Theorem.

Third, we consider the case when $x = n$. In that case $x+1 = 0 \bmod n$, $y = \phi_n$ and $w = \phi_{n-1} = \theta_n$. Now, we see that

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1} a_{\phi_2} \cdots a_{\phi_n})$$

is equivalent to

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1}a_{\phi_2}\cdots a_{\phi_r}a_na_za_{\phi_{r+3}}\cdots a_{\phi_{n-2}}a_wa_y), \tag{7}$$

On the other hand,

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\theta_1}a_{\theta_2}\cdots a_{\theta_n})$$

is equivalent to

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_na_y = a_{\theta_1}a_{\theta_2}\cdots a_{\theta_r}a_na_ya_za_{\theta_{r+4}}\cdots a_{\theta_{n-1}}a_wa_y),$$

which can be written as

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a'_n = a_{\theta_1}a_{\theta_2}\cdots a_{\theta_r}a'_na_za_{\theta_{r+4}}\cdots a_{\theta_{n-1}}a_wa_y), \tag{8}$$

where $a'_n = a_na_y$. Since $\theta_1 = \phi_1, ..., \theta_r) = \phi_r$ and $\theta_{r+4} = \phi_{r+3}, ..., \theta_{n-1} = \phi_{n-2}$, Equation 7 and Equation 8 have the same number of ordered n-tuples of elements of G as their solutions. Hence, we obtain the statement of our Theorem.

Fourth, we consider the case when $y = 0$. In that case $\phi_1 = x + 1$ and $w = \phi_n$. Now, we see that

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1}a_{\phi_2}\cdots a_{\phi_n})$$

is equivalent to

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{x+1}a_{\phi_2}a_{\phi_3}\cdots a_{\phi_r}a_xa_za_{\phi_{r+3}}\cdots a_{\phi_{n-1}}a_w),$$

which can be written as:

$$Pr(arsb = svrt),$$

in which

$$r = a_x, s = a_{x+1}, a = a_1a_2\cdots a_{x-1},$$
$$b = a_{x+2}a_{x+3}\cdots a_n, v = a_{\phi_2}a_{\phi_3}\cdots a_{\phi_i}, t = a_{\phi_{i+2}}a_{\phi_{i+3}}\cdots a_{\phi_n}.$$

Here i is such that $\phi_{i+1} = x$. On the other hand,

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\theta_1}a_{\theta_2}\cdots a_{\theta_n})$$

is equivalent to

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_za_{\theta_2}a_{\theta_3}a_{\theta_4}\cdots a_{\theta_s}a_wa_{x+1}a_{\theta_{r+3}}\cdots a_{\theta_{n-1}}a_x),$$

which can be written as

$$Pr(arsb = tsvr),$$

which, in its turn, is equivalent to

$$Pr(b^{-1}s^{-1}r^{-1}a^{-1} = r^{-1}v^{-1}s^{-1}t^{-1}).$$

Now define

$$a' = b^{-1}, b' = a^{-1}, v' = v^{-1}, t' = t^{-1}, r' = s^{-1}, \text{ and } s' = r^{-1}.$$

Then

$$Pr(b^{-1}s^{-1}r^{-1}a^{-1} = r^{-1}v^{-1}s^{-1}t^{-1}) = Pr(a'r's'b' = s'v'r't')$$

is the same as $Pr(arsb = tsvr)$. Hence, we obtain the statement of our Theorem. ■

Theorem 4.2 *Let ϕ and θ be two permutations in S_n, such that θ is obtained from ϕ by an $x--y$ cyclic operation. Then*

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1}a_{\phi_2}\cdots a_{\phi_n}) = Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\theta_1}a_{\theta_2}\cdots a_{\theta_n}).$$

Proof. We consider four different possible cases, and prove our theorem for each one of them:

First case is when $x \neq 0, n$ and $y \neq 0$. In this case, for some $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, $\phi_k = x$, $\phi_{k+1} = y$ and $\phi_{k+2} = x+1$. Hence,

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1}a_{\phi_2}\cdots a_{\phi_n})$$

can be written as

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1}a_{\phi_2}\cdots a_{\phi_{k-1}}a_xa_ya_{x+1}a_{\phi_{k+3}}\cdots a_{\phi_n}) \tag{9}$$

If $y > x$, then Expression 9 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(a_1\cdots(a_xa_{x+1})\cdots a_y\cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1}\cdots a_{\phi_{k-1}}(a_xa_{x+1})(a_{x+1}^{-1}a_ya_{x+1})a_{\phi_{k+3}}\cdots a_{\phi_n}) \tag{10}$$

Now, we define:

- $\hat{a}_t = a_t$ if $t < x$ or $t > y$;
- $\hat{a}_x = a_xa_{x+1}$;
- $\hat{a}_t = a_{t+1}$ if $x+1 < t < y-1$;
- $\hat{a}_{y-1} = a_{x+1}$;
- $\hat{a}_y = a_{x+1}^{-1}a_y$.

From the last two items we get that:

- $\hat{a}_{y-1}\hat{a}_y = a_y$;
- $\hat{a}_y\hat{a}_{y-1} = a_{x+1}^{-1}a_ya_{x+1}$.

We see that Expression 10 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(\hat{a}_1\hat{a}_2\cdots\hat{a}_n = \hat{a}_{\theta_1}\hat{a}_{\theta_2}\cdots\hat{a}_{\theta_{k-1}}\hat{a}_x\hat{a}_y\hat{a}_{y-1}\hat{a}_{\theta_{k+3}}\cdots\hat{a}_{\theta_n}), \tag{11}$$

in which:

- $\theta_t = \phi_t$ for $\phi_t \leq x$ or $\phi_t \geq y$;
- $\theta_t = y-1$ for $\phi_t = x+1$;
- $\theta_t = \phi_t - 1$ for $x+1 < \phi_t < y$.

If $y < x$, then Expression 9 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(a_1 \cdots a_y \cdots (a_x a_{x+1}) \cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1} \cdots a_{\phi_{k-1}} (a_x a_y a_x^{-1})(a_x a_{x+1}) a_{\phi_{k+3}} \cdots a_{\phi_n}) \quad (12)$$

Now, we define:

- $\hat{a}_t = a_t$ for $t < y$ or for $t > x + 1$;
- $\hat{a}_t = a_{t-1}$ for $y + 1 < t \leq x$;
- $\hat{a}_{x+1} = a_x a_{x+1}$;
- $\hat{a}_y = a_y a_{x-1}$;
- $\hat{a}_{y+1} = a_x$.

From the last two items we get that:

- $\hat{a}_y \hat{a}_{y+1} = a_y$;
- $\hat{a}_{y+1} \hat{a}_y = a_x a_y a_x^{-1}$.

We see that Expression 12 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \cdots \hat{a}_n = \hat{a}_{\theta_1} \hat{a}_{\theta_2} \cdots \hat{a}_{\theta_{k-1}} \hat{a}_{y+1} \hat{a}_y \hat{a}_{x+1} \hat{a}_{\theta_{k+3}} \cdots \hat{a}_{\theta_n}), \quad (13)$$

in which:

- $\theta_t = \phi_t$ for $\phi_t \leq y$ or $\phi_t \geq x + 1$;
- $\theta_t = y + 1$ for $\phi_t = x$;
- $\theta_t = \phi_t + 1$ for $y < \phi_t < x$.

Second case is when $x = 0$. In this case, $\phi_1 = y$ and $\phi_2 = 1$. Hence,

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1} a_{\phi_2} \cdots a_{\phi_n})$$

can be written as

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_y a_1 a_{\phi_3} \cdots a_{\phi_n}) \quad (14)$$

Expression 14 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(a_2 \cdots a_n = (a_1^{-1} a_y a_1) a_{\phi_3} \cdots a_{\phi_n}) \quad (15)$$

Now, we define:

- $\hat{a}_t = a_t$ for $t > y$;
- $\hat{a}_t = a_{t+1}$ for $1 \leq t < y - 1$;
- $\hat{a}_{y-1} = a_1$;

- $\hat{a}_y = a_1^{-1} a_y$.

From the last two items we get that:

- $\hat{a}_{y-1}\hat{a}_y = a_y$;
- $\hat{a}_y\hat{a}_{y-1} = a_1^{-1} a_y a_1$.

We see that Expression 15 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(\hat{a}_1 \cdots \hat{a}_n = \hat{a}_y\hat{a}_{y-1}\hat{a}_{\theta_3} \cdots \hat{a}_{\theta_n}), \tag{16}$$

in which:

- $\theta_t = \phi_t$ for $\phi_t \geq y$;
- $\theta_t = y - 1$ for $\phi_t = 1$;
- $\theta_t = \phi_t - 1$ for $1 < \phi_t < y$.

Third case − when $x = n$. In this case, $\phi_n = y$ and $\phi_{n-1} = n$. Hence,

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1} a_{\phi_2} \cdots a_{\phi_n})$$

can be written as

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1} \cdots a_{\phi_{n-2}} a_n a_y) \tag{17}$$

Expression 17 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{n-1} = a_{\phi_1} \cdots a_{\phi_{n-2}} a_n a_y a_n^{-1}) \tag{18}$$

Now, we define:

- $\hat{a}_t = a_t$ for $t < y$;
- $\hat{a}_t = a_{t-1}$ for $y + 1 < t < n$;
- $\hat{a}_y = a_y a_n^{-1}$;
- $\hat{a}_{y+1} = a_n$.

From the last two items we get that:

- $\hat{a}_y\hat{a}_{y+1} = a_y$;
- $\hat{a}_{y+1}\hat{a}_y = a_n a_y a_n^{-1}$.

We see that Expression 18 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(\hat{a}_1 \cdots \hat{a}_n = \hat{a}_{\theta_1} \cdots \hat{a}_{\theta_{n-2}}\hat{a}_{y+1}\hat{a}_y), \tag{19}$$

in which:

- $\theta_t = \phi_t$ for $1 \leq \phi_t \leq y$;
- $\theta_t = \phi_t + 1$ for $y < \phi_t < n$;
- $\theta_t = y + 1$ for $\phi_t = n$.

Forth case is when $y = 0$. In this case, $\phi_1 = x + 1$ and $\phi_n = x$. Hence,

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{\phi_1} a_{\phi_2} \cdots a_{\phi_n})$$

can be written as

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = a_{x+1} a_{\phi_2} \cdots a_{\phi_{n-1}} a_x) \tag{20}$$

Expression 20 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(a_x a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{x-1}(a_x a_{x+1}) \cdots a_n a_x^{-1} = (a_x a_{x+1}) a_{\phi_2} \cdots a_{\phi_{n-1}}) \tag{21}$$

In its turn, Expression 21 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(a_x a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{x-1}(a_x a_{x+1}) \cdots a_n = (a_x a_{x+1}) a_{\phi_2} \cdots a_{\phi_{n-1}} a_x) \tag{22}$$

Now, we define:

- $\hat{a}_t = a_t$ for $t > x + 1$;
- $\hat{a}_1 = a_x$;
- $\hat{a}_t = a_{t-1}$ for $1 < t \leq x$;
- $\hat{a}_{x+1} = a_x a_{x+1}$.

We see that Expression 22 is equivalent to the expression

$$Pr(\hat{a}_1 \cdots \hat{a}_n = \hat{a}_{x+1} \hat{a}_{\theta 2} \cdots \hat{a}_{\theta n-1} \hat{a}_1), \tag{23}$$

in which:

- $\theta_t = \phi_t$ for $\phi_t > x$;
- $\theta_t = 1$ for $\phi_t = x$;
- $\theta_t = \phi_t + 1$ for $1 \leq \phi_t < x$.

■

Example 4.3 *Let, again, the group G be D_4 or Q_8. We get that*

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4 \cdots a_{2k-1} a_{2k} a_{2k+1} a_{2k+2} \cdots a_n = a_2 a_1 a_4 a_3 \cdots a_{2k} a_{2k-1} a_{2k+1} a_{2k+2} \cdots a_n$$

is equal to

$$(\frac{5}{8})^k + \frac{k!}{2!(k-2)!}(\frac{5}{8})^{k-2}(\frac{3}{8})^2 + \frac{k!}{4!(k-4)!}(\frac{5}{8})^{k-4}(\frac{3}{8})^4 + ...$$

Since an even number of the inverted pairs $a_{j+1}a_j$ must be equal to $ca_j a_{j+1}$, where c is the nontrivial element from the center of G. By a direct computation we see that for $k = 0, 1, 2, ...[[\frac{n}{2}]]$ we get different probabilities for the corresponding permutation equalities.

Theorem 4.4 *If θ and ϕ are $x--y$ equivalent then $Gr(\phi)$ and $Gr(\theta)$ have the same number of alternating cycles.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that if θ is obtained from ϕ by an $x--y$ exchange operation or by an $x--y$ cyclic operation, then $Gr(\phi)$ and $Gr(\theta)$ have the same number of alternating cycles.

If θ is obtained from ϕ by an $x--y$ exchange operation, then the big black $(n+1)$-cycle $\phi^{\cdot}$ contains $z \to x$ and $x+1 \to y \to w$, and the the big black $(n+1)$-cycle $\theta^{\cdot}$ contains $z \to y \to x$ and $x+1 \to w$. Thus, (see Definition 1.11) the only difference between big black $(n+1)$-cycles $\phi^{\cdot}$ and $\theta^{\cdot}$ is the relocation of y from being immediately after $x+1$ in $\phi^{\cdot}$ to being immediately before x in $\theta^{\cdot}$. Since, $\phi^{\circ} = \phi^{\cdot} \cdot (0, 1, ..., n)$, and $\theta^{\circ} = \theta^{\cdot} \cdot (0, 1, ..., n)$, we get

$$\phi^{\circ} = (...., x+1, y, w, ..., z, x, ...) \cdot (0, 1, ..., x, x+1, ..., n)$$

and

$$\theta^{\circ} = (...., x+1, w, ..., z, y, x) \cdot (0, 1, ..., y-1, y, ..., n).$$

This implies that $\phi^{\circ}(x) = \phi^{\cdot}(x+1) = y$ and $\theta^{\circ}(y-1) = \theta^{\cdot}(y) = x$. Therefore, the only difference between θ° and ϕ° is the relocation of x from being immediately before y in the cyclic presentation of ϕ° to being immediately after $y-1$ in the cyclic presentation of θ°. Hence, the number of cycles in θ°, as we count the cycles of length one (fixed elements), is the same as the number of cycles in ϕ°.

If θ is obtained from ϕ by an $x--y$ cyclic operation then it is clear from Lemma 1.18 that the cycles of θ° are obtained from the cycles of ϕ° by certain trivial interchanges. Hence, the number of cycles in θ° and in ϕ°, as we count the cycles of length one, is the same.

Therefore, after performing $x--y$ exchange and $x--y$ cyclic operations on ϕ we obtain θ, such that the number of cycles in θ° is the same as in ϕ°. And the numbers of cycles in ϕ° and θ° are equal to the numbers of alternating cycles in the cycle graphs $Gr(\phi)$ and $Gr(\theta)$, respectively. ■

To prove Theorem 4.9 below, which asserts the opposite direction of Theorem 4.4, we need the following four technical lemmas.

Lemma 4.5 *Let ϕ and θ be $x--y$ equivalent permutations in S_{2t}, such that $Gr(\phi)$ and, consequently, $Gr(\theta)$ contain only one alternating cycle. Let $\phi^{\cdot} = (\phi_{2t}, \phi_{2t-1}, \ldots, \phi_0)$ and $\theta^{\cdot} = (\theta_{2t}, \theta_{2t-1}, \ldots, \theta_0)$. Then any two permutations $\mu, \tau \in S_{2t+2}$, such that*

$$\mu^{\cdot} = (\phi_i, \phi_{i-1}, \ldots, \phi_0, \phi_{2t}, \ldots, \phi_{i+1}, 2t+1, 2t+2)$$

and

$$\tau^{\cdot} = (\theta_j, \theta_{j-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, \theta_{2t}, \ldots, \theta_{j+1}, 2t+1, 2t+2),$$

are also $x--y$ equivalent and also have only one alternating cycle in their cycle graphs.

Proof. The fact that $Gr(\phi)$ contains only one alternating cycle implies that $\phi^{\circ} = \phi^{\cdot} \cdot (0, 1, \ldots, 2t)$ is a cycle of length $2t+1$ in $S(1+2t)$. Consider $\mu \in S_{2t+2}$ such that

$$\mu^{\cdot} = (\phi_{2t}, \phi_{2t-1}, \ldots, \phi_0, 2t+1, 2t+2).$$

In ϕ° the element $\phi_u = \phi_0 - 1$ (where -1 means $2t$) went to element ϕ_{2t} and the element $\phi_v = 2t$ went to element $\phi^{\cdot}(0)$. In μ° the element $\phi_u = \phi_0 - 1$ goes to element $2t+1$ which, in its turn, goes to element ϕ_{2t}, and the element $\phi_v = 2t$ goes to element $2t+2$ which, in its turn, goes to element $\phi^{\cdot}(0)$. This implies that μ° is just a cycle of length $2t+3$. Hence, $Gr(\mu)$ also contains only one alternating cycle.

First, let us show that the permutation $\mu \in S_{2t+2}$ is equivalent to some permutation τ, such that

$$\tau^{\cdot} = (\theta_j, \theta_{j-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, \theta_{2t}, \ldots, \theta_{j+1}, 2t+1, 2t+2).$$

We start by considering the situation, when θ was obtained from ϕ by one $x - -y$ exchange operation.

In the case, when $x \neq 2t$ (note, that $2t = 0 - 1 = \phi_0 - 1 \bmod 2t$) and $y \neq \phi_{2t}$, performing the same $x - -y$ exchange operation on ϕ and on μ produces two permutations θ and τ, respectively, such that $\tau^{\cdot}$ is just $\theta^{\cdot}$ with $2t+1 \to 2t+2$ inserted in some place. Performing that $x - -y$ exchange operation on ϕ relocates y from its place immediately after $x+1$ in $\phi^{\cdot}$ to its place immediately before x in $\theta^{\cdot}$. Performing that same $x - -y$ exchange operation on μ relocates y from its place immediately after $x+1$ in $\mu^{\cdot}$ to its place immediately before x in $\tau^{\cdot}$.

Now let's look at the permutation μ, for which we have that $y \neq \phi_{2t}, 2t+1, 2t+2$, $x \neq 2t, 2t+1, 2t+2$ and $x+1 \neq \phi_0, 2t+1, 2t+2$. In the big black cycle $\mu^{\cdot}$ the element ϕ_{2t} stands right after the element $2t+2$, and the element ϕ_0 stands right before the element $2t+1$. Hence, the whole piece $\phi_0 \to 2t+1 \to 2t+2 \to \phi_{2t}$ of μ is not "broken" by our $x - -y$ exchange operation, and is preserved in $\tau^{\cdot}$.

In the case, when $x = 2t = \phi_0 - 1$, we get that $y = \phi_{2t}$. Since the element y in $\phi^{\cdot}$ has to be standing right after the element $x+1 = \phi_0$, we get that $y = \phi_{2t}$. Let i be such that $\phi_i = 2t = x$. Keep in mind, that in all the descriptions of the black cycles, which follow, $\phi_{2t} = y$, $\phi_i = 2t = x$ and $x+1 = \phi_0$. The $x - -y$ exchange operation, applied on ϕ, produces θ, such that in $\theta^{\cdot}$ the element $y = \phi_{2t}$ stands right before the element $x = \phi_0 - 1 = 2t = \phi_i$. Thus, we have

$$\theta^{\cdot} = \phi_{2t-1} \to \phi_{2t-2} \to \ldots \to \phi_{i+1} \to y \to x \to \phi_{i-1} \to \ldots \to \phi_0 \to \phi_{2t-1}.$$

Performing $x - -(2t+1)$ exchange operation on μ produces a permutation ρ, such that in $\rho^{\cdot}$ the element $2t+1$ stands right before the element $x = \phi_0 - 1$, and the element $2t+2$ stands right after the element $x+1 = \phi_0$.

Thus we have

$$\rho^{\cdot} = \phi_{2t} \to \phi_{2t-1} \to \ldots \to \phi_{i+1} \to (2t+1) \to x \to \phi_{i-1} \to \ldots \to (x+1) \to (2t+2) \to y.$$

Next, performing $x - -(2t+2)$ exchange operation on ρ produces a permutation ϱ, such that

$$\varrho^{\cdot} = \phi_{2t} \to \phi_{2t-1} \to \ldots \to \phi_{i+1} \to (2t+1) \to (2t+2) \to x \to \phi_{i-1} \to \ldots \to (x+1) \to y.$$

Finally, performing $x - -y$ exchange operation on ϱ produces a permutation τ, such that

$$\tau^{\cdot} = \phi_{2t-1} \to \phi_{2t-2} \to \ldots \to \phi_{i+1} \to (2t+1) \to (2t+2) \to$$
$$\to y \to x \to \phi_{i-1} \to \ldots. \to \phi_0 \to \phi_{2t-1}.$$

Now we prove that any permutation π, such that

$$\pi^{\cdot} = (\theta_s, \theta_{s-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, \theta_{2t}, \ldots, \theta_{s+1}, 2t+1, 2t+2)$$

can be obtained from the permutation μ by several $x - -y$ exchange operations. We have already shown, that some τ, such that

$$\tau^{\cdot} = (\theta_j, \theta_{j-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, \theta_{2t}, \ldots, \theta_{j+1}, 2t+1, 2t+2),$$

can be obtained from μ. Performing $(2t+2) - -\theta_j$ exchange operation on τ produces permutation ξ, such that

$$\xi^{\cdot} = (\theta_{j-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, \theta_{2t}, \ldots, \theta_{j+1}, \theta_j, 2t+1, 2t+2).$$

Performing $(2t+2) - -\theta_{j-1}$ exchange operation on ξ produces permutation χ, such that

$$\chi^{\cdot} = (\theta_{j-2}, \ldots, \theta_0, \theta_{2t}, \ldots, \theta_j, \theta_{j-1}, 2t+1, 2t+2).$$

Continuing this process, we can obtain any permutation π, such that

$$\pi^{\cdot} = (\theta_s, \theta_{s-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, \theta_{2t}, \ldots, \theta_{s+1}, 2t+1, 2t+2).$$

In the situation, when θ was obtained from ϕ by one $x - -y$ cyclic operation, it is trivial to check that any $x - -y$ cyclic operation, where x and y are between 0 and $2t$, does not affect $2t+1 \to 2t+2$ in the big black cycle $\mu^{\cdot}$.

All of the argument above, obviously, also works in the situations, when ϕ was obtained from θ by one $x - -y$ exchange or one $x - -y$ cyclic operation.

Therefore, since any $x - -y$ equivalence is obtained by performing several $x - -y$ exchange and $x - -y$ cyclic operations, our Lemma holds. ■

Lemma 4.6 *Let ϕ be a permutation in S_{2t}, such that $Gr(\phi)$ contains only one alternating cycle. If*

$$\phi^{\cdot} = (\phi_{2t-2}, \phi_{2t-1}, \ldots, \phi_0, 2t-1, 2t)$$

then for any $0 \leq a \leq 2t$ and any permutation θ in S_{2t}, such that

$$\theta^{\cdot} = (\theta_{2t-2}, \theta_{2t-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, a, a+1)$$

(here $a+1$ is considered modulo $(2t+1)$), where $\theta_i = \phi_i + a \bmod (2t+1)$ for all i, ϕ and θ are in the same $x - -y$ exchange orbit. Therefore, ϕ and θ are $x - -y$ equivalent.

Proof. Performing the $(2t-1) - -(2t)$ exchange operation on ϕ produces ρ such that in $\rho^{\cdot}$ the element $2t$, which in $\phi^{\cdot}$ stood right after $2t-1$, now stands right before $2t-2$. Next, performing the $(2t) - -(2t-2)$ exchange operation on ρ produces ϱ such that in $\varrho^{\cdot}$ the element $2t-2$, which in $\rho^{\cdot}$ stood right after $2t$, now stands right before $2t-1$. Thus, for the permutation ϱ, produced by performing these two $x - -y$ exchange operations, we have

$$\varrho^{\cdot} = (\varrho_{2t-2}, \varrho_{2t-1}, \ldots, \varrho_0, 2t-2, 2t-1).$$

Here $\varrho_j = \phi_j$, when $\phi_j \neq 2t-2$, and $\varrho_j = 2t = \phi_j + 2$, when $\phi_j = 2t-2$.

Now, we have $(2t-2) \rightarrow (2t-1)$ in $\varrho^{\cdot}$. We proceed as follows. Perform $(2t-2)--(2t-1)$ exchange operation on ϱ to produce ξ, such that in $\xi^{\cdot}$ the element $2t-1$, which in $\varrho^{\cdot}$ stood right after $2t-2$, now stands right before $2t-3$. Next perform $(2t-1)--(2t-3)$ exchange operation on ξ to produce χ, such that in $\chi^{\cdot}$ the element $2t-3$, which in $\xi^{\cdot}$ stood right after $2t-1$, now stands right before $2t-2$. Notice, that

$$\chi^{\cdot} = (\chi_{2t-2}, \chi_{2t-1}, \ldots, \chi_0, 2t-3, 2t-2),$$

where $\chi_j = \phi_j$, when $\phi_j \neq 2t-3, 2t-2$, and $\chi_j = \phi_j + 2$, when $\phi_j = 2t-3$ or $\phi_j = 2t-2$.

We proceed this way, until we obtain a permutation π, such that

$$\pi^{\cdot} = (\pi_{2t-2}, \pi_{2t-1}, \ldots, \pi_0, 0, 1)$$

where $\pi_j = \phi_j + 2 \bmod (2t+1)$. Since, $2t+1$ is an odd number, for any $0 \leq a \leq 2t$, this whole process can now be repeated several times to obtain permutation θ, such that

$$\theta^{\cdot} = (\theta_{2t-2}, \theta_{2t-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, a-1, a),$$

where $\theta_j = \phi_j + a + 1 \bmod (2t+1)$. ■

Lemma 4.7 *Let ϕ be a permutation in S_{2t}, such that $Gr(\phi)$ contains only one alternating cycle and that $\phi^{\cdot} = (..., a, b, a-1, ...)$, where a and b are any numbers between 0 and $2t$ (again, -1 means $2t$). Then permutation ϕ is in the same $x--y$ orbit as some permutation θ in S_{2t}, such that*

$$\theta^{\cdot} = (\theta_{2t-2}, \theta_{2t-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, 2t-1, 2t).$$

Proof. Performing $(a-1)--b$ cyclic operation on ϕ produces permutation ρ, such that if $b > a-1$, then

$$\rho^{\cdot} = (..., b-1, b, a-1, ...),$$

and if $b < a-1$, then

$$\rho^{\cdot} = (..., a, b, b+1, ...).$$

Repeating our arguments from the proof of Lemma 4.6 establishes the existence of the permutation θ in S_{2t}, such that

$$\theta^{\cdot} = (\theta_{2t-2}, \theta_{2t-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, 2t-1, 2t).$$

■

Lemma 4.8 *Let ϕ be a permutation in S_{2t}, such that $Gr(\phi)$ contains only one alternating cycle. By performing $x--y$ exchange and $x--y$ cyclic operations on ϕ, it is possible to obtain some permutation θ in S_{2t}, such that*

$$\theta^{\cdot} = (\theta_{2t-2}, \theta_{2t-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, 2t-1, 2t).$$

Proof. Select any number a between 1 and $2t$. Let $\phi^{\cdot}$ contain

$$a \to b_1 \to b_2 \to \cdots \to b_k \to (a-1),$$

which means that there are k elements between a an $a-1$ in the big black cycle $\phi^{\cdot}$. Clearly, $k > 0$ (otherwise, in $Gr(\phi)$ the element $a-1$ is taken by a grey arrow to the element a, which is then taken by a black arrow back to $a-1$ − contradiction to the fact that $Gr(\phi)$ contains only one alternating cycle). We want to show that if $k > 2$, we can decrease k by performing $x--y$ exchange operations on ϕ. Let $b = \min\{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_k\}$. Consider three cases:

Case 1: $b = b_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Performing $b_i --b_{i+1}$ exchange operation on ϕ produces ρ, such that

$$\rho^{\cdot} = (..., a, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_i, b_{i+2}, \ldots, b_k, a-1, ...).$$

Case 2: $b = b_k$ and $b_{k-1} - 1 = b_i \bmod 2t$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Performing $b_{k-1} --b_k$ exchange operation on ϕ produces ρ, such that

$$\rho^{\cdot} = (..., a, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b_k, b_i, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k-1}, a-1, ...).$$

Next, performing $b_k --b_i$ exchange operation on ρ produces ϱ, such that

$$\varrho^{\cdot} = (..., a, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b_k, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{k-1}, a-1, ...).$$

Case 3: $b = b_k$ and $b_{k-1} - 1 \neq b_j$ for any $1 \leq j \leq k-1$. Performing $b_{k-1} --b_k$ exchange operation on ϕ creates ρ, such that

$$\rho^{\cdot} = (..., a, b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{k-1}, a-1, ...).$$

Thus in all three cases above we reduced the number of elements between a and $a-1$ in $\phi\prime^{\cdot}$ by performing appropriate $x--y$ exchange operations. Repeating this process again and again eventually produces a permutation τ, such that $\tau^{\cdot} = (..., a, d, a-1, ...)$. Now, we apply Lemma 4.7 to τ and obtain the result of this lemma. ■

Theorem 4.9 *If two permutations ϕ and θ in S_n have the same number of alternating cycles in their cycle graphs $Gr(\phi)$ and $Gr(\theta)$, then they are $x--y$ equivalent.*

Proof. Recall, that it was already established in Theorem 4.4 that if θ and ϕ are $x--y$ equivalent then $Gr(\phi)$ and $Gr(\theta)$ have the same number of alternating cycles. This theorem establishes the other direction.

First, we prove our theorem for the case, when $n = 2t$ and ϕ and θ have one alternating cycle in their cycle graphs $Gr(\phi)$ and $Gr(\theta)$. If $t = 1$ and $n = 2t = 2$, this statement is trivial, since there is only one permutation in S_2, which has one alternating cycle in its cycle graph.

We proceed by induction on $n = 2t$. Assume that any two permutations ϕ and θ in S_{2t}, such that $Gr(\phi)$ and $Gr(\theta)$ contain one alternating cycle, are $x--y$ equivalent. Let $\phi^{\cdot} = (\phi_{2t}, \phi_{2t-1}, \ldots, \phi_0)$ and $\theta^{\cdot} = (\theta_{2t}, \theta_{2t-1}, \ldots, \theta_0)$.

By Lemma 4.5, any two permutation μ and τ in S_{2t+2}, such that

$$\mu^{\cdot} = (\phi_i, \phi_{i-1}, \ldots, \phi_0, \phi_{2t}, \ldots, \phi_{i+1}, 2t+1, 2t+2)$$

and

$$\tau^{\cdot} = (\theta_j, \theta_{j-1}, \ldots, \theta_0, \theta_{2t}, \ldots, \theta_{j+1}, 2t+1, 2t+2),$$

also have only one alternating cycle in their cycle graphs and are also $x - -y$ equivalent.

Now, Lemma 4.8 asserts that any permutation σ in S_{2t+2}, such that $Gr(\sigma)$ contains only one alternating cycle, is $x - -y$ equivalent to some permutation μ in S_{2t+2}, such that $\mu^{\cdot}$ contains $(2t+1) \to (2t+2)$. From Theorem 4.4 we know, that $Gr(\mu)$ also contains only one alternating cycle. Again, Lemma 4.8 asserts that any permutation π in S_{2t+2}, such that $Gr(\pi)$ contains only one alternating cycle, is $x - -y$ equivalent to some permutation τ in S_{2t+2}, such that $\tau^{\cdot}$ contains $(2t+1) \to (2t+2)$. Again, Theorem 4.4 asserts that $Gr(\tau)$ contains only one alternating cycle. Since we have obtained above, using induction and Lemma 4.5, that any such μ and τ in S_{2t+2} are $x - -y$ equivalent, we get that σ and π are also $x - -y$ equivalent. This completes the induction argument.

We prove our theorem by induction on n. The statement is obvious for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$. Now, assume that the theorem is true for all $n \leq k$. We prove it for $n = k + 1$.

We start by considering a permutation $\phi \in S_{k+1}$, which fixes the element $k + 1$. Thus, $\phi(k + 1) = k + 1$. This means, that $(k + 1)$ is a cycle of length one in the cyclic decomposition of ϕ. This also means, that $\phi^{\cdot}$ contains $0 \to (k + 1)$. Thus in ϕ° the element $k+1$ is also a fixed element, just as it is in ϕ, and ϕ° also contains a cycle $(k+1)$ of length one. We shall now prove that any permutation, which has the same number of alternating cycles in its cycle graph as in $Gr(\phi)$, is $x - -y$ equivalent to ϕ. Let $\rho \in S_k$ be ϕ, with its fixed element $k + 1$ deleted.

Notice, that performing on ϕ any $x - -y$ exchange or $x - -y$ cyclic operation, where $x \neq k + 1$ and $y \neq 0, k + 1$, leaves $0 \to k + 1$ in the big black cycle $\phi^{\cdot}$ unbroken. Consequently, such operation does not effect the cycle $(k + 1)$ in ϕ°. Hence, performing any $x - -y$ exchange and $x - -y$ cyclic operation, with $y \neq 0$, on ρ is equivalent to performing this same operation on ϕ, and then deleting the fixed element $k+1$ from the result.

Notice, that in order to be permissible to perform any $x - -0$ cyclic operation, x must be less than $k + 1$. Otherwise, $x + 1 = 0 \bmod (k + 1)$, hence $y = x + 1$, which is impossible. Thus, any $x - -0$ cyclic operation does not move $k + 1$ from its place in $\phi^{\cdot}$. Hence, $x - -0$ cyclic operation preserves $k + 1$ as a fixed element.

Notice also, that performing on ϕ first $x - -0$ exchange operation, with any $x \neq k+1$, and then $x - -(k+1)$ exchange operation, will leave $0 \to k+1$ unbroken in the big black cycle $\phi^{\cdot}$. Consequently, this composition of two exchange operations does not effect the cycle $(k + 1)$ in ϕ°. Hence, performing an $x - -0$ exchange operation on ρ is equivalent to performing this same $x - -0$ exchange operation, followed by $x - -(k + 1)$ exchange operation, on ϕ, and then deleting the fixed element $k + 1$ from the result.

First, consider $\theta \in S_{k+1}$, such that $Gr(\theta)$ has the same number of alternating cycles as $Gr(\phi)$, and which, like ϕ, fixes the element $k + 1$. Let $\varrho \in S_k$ be θ, with its fixed element $k+1$ deleted. By the induction hypothesis, we can obtain ϱ from ρ by performing $x - -y$ exchange and $x - -y$ cyclic operations. But, due to our above argument, this implies that we can also obtain θ from ϕ by performing $x - -y$ exchange and $x - -y$ cyclic operations.

Now we will show that any permutation $\theta \in S_{k+1}$, which has two or more alternating cycles in its cycle graph $Gr(\theta)$, is $x - -y$ equivalent to some permutation $\phi \in S_{k+1}$,

which fixes the element $k+1$. Let

$$cyc = (k+1) \mapsto \theta_{j_2} \mapsto ... \mapsto \theta_{j_m} \mapsto (k+1)$$

be the cycle of θ°, which contains the element $\theta_{j_1} = k+1$. If the length of cyc is 1, then just take $\phi = \theta$. If the length of cyc is 2, then $cyc = (k+1) \mapsto \theta_{j_2} \mapsto (k+1)$. Here $\theta_{j_2} \neq k$, since there can be no $i \mapsto (i+1)$, for any i, in any cycle of θ°. Performing $\theta_{j_2} - -(k+1)$ exchange operation on θ produces a permutation ϕ, such that ϕ° contains $(k+1) \mapsto (k+1)$. Thus, θ is $x - -y$ equivalent to ϕ, which fixes the element $k+1$.

Now, by induction on the length of cyc, assume that our statement that θ is $x - -y$ equivalent to some $\phi \in S_{k+1}$, satisfying $\phi(k+1) = k+1$, is true for all permutations θ with the length of cyc being $\leq m$.

Consider any θ with

$$cyc = (k+1) \mapsto \theta_{j_2} \mapsto ... \mapsto \theta_{j_{m+1}} \mapsto (k+1).$$

If the length of the cycle cyc is ≥ 3, then we select some $b = \theta_{j_r}$ in cyc, satisfying $\theta_{j_{r+1}} \neq k+1$, such that $b+1$ is not contained in cyc. If cyc does not contain 0, we take $b = k+1$. If cyc contains 0, but does not contain 1, we take $b = 0$. And so on. By our assumptions, cyc cannot contain all the numbers between 0 and k, otherwise θ° will have only one cycle. Hence, we will always find such b. Notice, that, since cyc contains $k+1$, $b \neq k$. Without loss of generality, assume that $b+1 = \theta_{i_1} \in cyc_2$, where

$$cyc_2 = (b+1) \mapsto \theta_{i_2} \mapsto ... \mapsto \theta_{i_a} \mapsto (b+1).$$

Let s be such that $\theta_s = \theta_{j_{r+1}} + 1 \bmod (k+2)$. Notice, that if $s > j_{r+1}$ then $s > j_{r+1}+1$. If $\theta_{j_{r+1}} + 1 = \theta_{j_{r+1}+1}$ then in θ° we would have $\theta_{j_{r+1}} \mapsto \theta_{j_{r+1}}$, since $(0, 1, \ldots, n)$ takes $\theta_{j_{r+1}}$ to $\theta_{j_{r+1}} + 1 \bmod (k+2)$ and then $\theta^\cdot$ takes $\theta_{j_{r+1}+1} = \theta_{j_{r+1}} + 1 \bmod (k+2)$ back to $\theta_{j_{r+1}}$. But this contradicts our assumption on the length of cyc. We define permutation $\tau \in S_{k+1}$ as follows:

- If $s > j_{r+1}$ then

$$\tau = \langle \theta_1 \ \ ... \ \ \theta_{j_{r+1}} \ \ \theta_{j_{r+1}+2} \ \ ... \ \ \theta_{s-1} \ \ \theta_{j_{r+1}+1} \ \ (\theta_{j_{r+1}} + 1 = \theta_s) \ \ ... \ \ \theta_{k+1} \rangle$$

- If $s < j_{r+1}$ then

$$\tau = \langle \theta_1 \ \ ... \ \ \theta_{s-1} \ \ \theta_{j_{r+1}+1} \ \ (\theta_{j_{r+1}} + 1 = \theta_s) \ \ ... \ \ \theta_{j_{r+1}} \ \ \theta_{j_{r+1}+2} \ \ ... \ \ \theta_{k+1} \rangle$$

Notice, that τ° differs from θ° only in the cycles cyc and in cyc_2. Namely, in τ° these two cycles are

$$cyc\prime = (k+1) \mapsto \theta_{j_2} \mapsto ... \mapsto (\theta_{j_r} = b) \mapsto \theta_{j_{r+2}} \mapsto .... \mapsto \theta_{j_{m+1}} \mapsto (k+1)$$

and

$$cyc_2\prime = (b+1) \mapsto \theta_{i_2} \mapsto ... \mapsto \theta_{i_a} \mapsto \theta_{j_{r+1}} \mapsto (b+1),$$

respectively. Performing $\theta_{j_{r+1}} - -(b+1)$ exchange operation on τ produces θ. But τ contains only m elements in its cycle $cyc\prime$, which contains the element $k+1$. Hence, by

our induction hypotheses on the length of *cyc*, τ is $x--y$ equivalent to some ϕ, which fixes the element $k+1$. Hence our θ is also $x--y$ equivalent to this ϕ. This completes the proof of the induction on the length of the cycle *cyc*.

But we have already shown above, that any two permutations, which fix the element $k+1$ and have the same number of alternating cycles in their cycle graphs, are $x--y$ equivalent. Hence any two permutations, which have the same number of alternating cycles in their cycle graphs, are $x--y$ equivalent. If this number of alternating cycles is two or more, then these two permutations are both $x--y$ equivalent to some permutation with the same number of alternating cycles, which fixes $k+1$. And if this number of alternating cycles in their cycle graphs is one, then we already established above, that these two permutations are $x--y$ equivalent. Hence, our Theorem follows. ■

At this point we are ready to state the main theorem of this work. This theorem generalizes the observations, made in the end of the previous section, that the probability of a permutation equality in a fixed finite group G depends only on the number of the alternating cycles in the cycle graph the permutation.

Theorem 4.10 *(a) Let $\phi \in S_n$ be a permutation such that $Gr(\phi)$ contains k alternating cycles. Then*

$$Pr_{\phi}(G) = Pr^{n+1-k}(G) = Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_{n-k}a_{n+1-k} = a_{n+1-k}a_{n-k}\cdots a_2a_1)$$

for $k \leq n$ (which implies that $k \leq n-1$, since, as it is shown in [9], $n-k$ is always an odd number), and $Pr_{\phi}(G) = 1$ for $k = n+1$ (which implies that ϕ is the Identity permutation).

(b) Let G be a non-abelian group, ϕ and θ two permutations in S_n. Then, $Pr_{\phi}(G) = Pr_{\theta}(G)$ if and only if the number of alternating cycles in the cycle graph $Gr\theta$ equals to that in the cycle graph $Gr\phi$, which means the spectrum of the probabilities of permutation equalities for permutations from S_n in a general non-abelian group G consists, in general, of exactly $[[\frac{n}{2}]]$ different values corresponds to each different Hultman class in the cycle graph decomposition of S_n.

Proof. *(a)* Define the permutation

$$\theta = \langle n+1-k \;\; n-k \;\; ... \;\; 2 \;\; 1 \;\; n+2-k \;\; n+3-k \;\; ... \;\; n \rangle$$

in S_n. It is easy to check, that θ° has k cycles. Hence, $Gr(\theta)$ contains k alternating cycles. By Theorem 4.9, this implies that ϕ and θ are $x--y$ equivalent. From Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, we get that $Pr_{\phi}(G) = Pr_{\theta}(G)$. Finally, observe that, since θ fixes elements $a_{n+2-k}, ..., a_n$ in their places, all these elements cancel-out in the permutation equality of θ. Thus,

$$Pr_{\theta}(G) = Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_{n-k}a_{n+1-k} = a_{n+1-k}a_{n-k}\cdots a_2a_1).$$

(b) Since, $Pr^{2n}(G) > Pr^{2n+2}(G)$ for every non-abeliann G and every $n \geq 0$ (see [7]), we get the result of the theorem. ■

5 Some explicit formulae for $Pr_{\langle 2n \ \ldots \ 1\rangle}(G)$

We end this work by providing two formulae for $Pr_{\langle 2n \ \ldots \ 1\rangle}(G)$. Our first formula expresses $Pr_{\langle 2n \ \ldots \ 1\rangle}(G)$ in terms of $Stab.Prod_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n)$. Our second formula expresses $Pr_{\langle 2n \ \ldots \ 1\rangle}(G)$ in terms of $c_{i_1,\ldots,i_n;j}(G)$. These results constitute a generalization of what was shown in Theorem 3.5 for permutations from S_4.

Let G be a finite group.

$$Pr(a_{2n}a_{2n-1}\cdots a_1 = a_1a_2\cdots a_{2n}) = \frac{\sum\limits_{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_n\in G} |Stab.Prod_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n))|}{|G|^{2n}} \quad (24)$$

$$Pr(a_{2n}a_{2n-1}\cdots a_1 = a_1a_2\cdots a_{2n}) = \sum_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n,j=1}^{c(G)} \frac{|\Omega_j|\cdot c^2_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n;j}(G)}{|\Omega_{i_1}|\cdot|\Omega_{i_2}|\cdot\cdots\cdot|\Omega_{i_n}|\cdot|G|^n} \quad (25)$$

Proof. Let us consider a generic equation

$$a_1a_2\cdots a_{2n} = a_{2n}a_{2n-1}\cdots a_1.$$

For $i = 1, ..., n$, denote the product $a_{2i-1}a_{2i}$ by x_i and the product $a_{2i}a_{2i-1}$ by x'_i. Notice, that by Lemma 2.1, we have $x_i \sim x'_i$.

The equation

$$a_1a_2\cdots a_{2n} = a_{2n}a_{2n-1}\cdots a_1$$

becomes

$$x_1x_2\cdots x_n = x'_nx'_{n-1}\cdots x'_1.$$

Now consider any

$$x_1, ..., x_n, x'_1, ..., x\prime_n \in G,$$

such that $x_i \sim x'_i$ for all i. Then, by Lemma 2.2, there are $\frac{|G|}{|\Omega(x_i)|} = |C_G(x_i)|$ different ways of breaking each x_i into a product $a_{2i-1}a_{2i}$ in such a way, that $x'_i = a_{2i}a_{2i-1}$. Thus to each fixed equation

$$x_1x_2\cdots x_n = x'_nx'_{n-1}\cdots x'_1$$

correspond

$$|C_G(x_1)|\cdot|C_G(x_2)|\cdot\cdots\cdot|C_G(x_n)|$$

different equations

$$a_1a_2\cdots a_{2n} = a_{2n}a_{2n-1}\cdots a_1.$$

Notice, that for any fixed $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in G$, we can take

$$x''_1 = (x_2x_3\cdots x_n)^{-1}x_1(x_2x_3\cdots x_n) = x_n^{-1}\cdots x_3^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_1x_2x_3\cdots x_n$$

and obtain an equation

$$x_1x_2\cdots x_n = x_nx_{n-1}\cdots x_2x''_1.$$

Now, for any equation

$$x_1x_2\cdots x_n = x'_nx'_{n-1}\cdots x'_1$$

as above, there exist some

$$g_1, g_2, ..., g_n \in G$$

such that $x'_1 = g_1 x''_1 g_1^{-1}$ and $x'_i = g_i x_i g_i^{-1}$, for $i = 2, 3, ..., n$.

Thus if we select and fix $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$, we will have

$$\frac{|Stab.Prod_n(x_n, ..., x_2, x''_1)|}{|C_G(x_n)| \cdot \cdots \cdot |C_G(x_2)| \cdot |C_G(x''_1)|}$$

different equations

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x'_n x'_{n-1} \cdots x'_1,$$

in which $x'_i \sim x_i$ and for all i. Any ordered n-tuple

$$(g_n, g_{n-1}, ..., g_2, g''_1) \in Stab.Prod_n(x_n, ..., x_2, x''_1)$$

produces an equation

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x'_n x'_{n-1} \cdots x'_1$$

by $x'_i = g_i x_i g_i^{-1}$, for $i = n, ..., 2$, and $x\prime_1 = g_1 x''_1 g_1^{-1}$. And any two such equations are equal if and only if $g_i \in C_G(x_i)$ and $g_1 \in C_G(x'')$.

Notice, that $|C_G(x''_1)| = |C_G(x_1)|$. Thus, for each fixed ordered n-tuple $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ of elements of G we have

$$\frac{|Stab.Prod_n(x_n, ..., x_2, x''_1)|}{|C_G(x_n)| \cdot \cdots \cdot |C_G(x_2)| \cdot |C_G(x_1)|}$$

different equations

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n = x'_n x'_{n-1} \cdots x'_1.$$

Moreover, to each one of these equations correspond

$$|C_G(x_1)| \cdot |C_G(x_2)| \cdot \cdots \cdot |C_G(x_n)|$$

different equations

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n} = a_{2n} a_{2n-1} \cdots a_1,$$

as shown above. Thus to each ordered n-tuple $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ of elements of G correspond

$$|Stab.Prod_n(x_n, ..., x_2, x''_1)|$$

different equations

$$a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n} = a_{2n} a_{2n-1} \cdots a_1.$$

Hence, to find

$$Pr(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{2n} = a_{2n} a_{2n-1} \cdots a_1)$$

we need to sum the value

$$|Stab.Prod_n(x_n, x_{n-1}, \ldots x_2, x''_1)|$$

over all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in G$.

Since $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$ run through all the elements of G, and for each fixed $x_1, ...x_{n-1}$ there is one-to-one correspondence between x_1'' and x_1, this is the same as to sum the value

$$|Stab.Prod_n(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)|$$

as over all $y_1, y_2, ..., y_n$ run through all the elements of G.

Actually, we just renamed x_{n-i} by y_i. Therefore,

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_{2n} = a_{2n}a_{2n-1}\cdots a_1) = \frac{\sum\limits_{y_1,y_2,\ldots,y_n\in G} |Stab.Prod_n(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)|}{|G|^{2n}}.$$

Now, select and fix an element z in some equivalence class Ω_j of G. For each i, there are $|C_G(x_i)|$ different ways to break x_i into a product $a_{2i-1}a_{2i}$ in such a way that $a_{2i}a_{2i-1} = x_i'$. Hence, for each (fixed) equation

$$x_1x_2\cdots x_n = z = x_1'x_2'\cdots x_n'$$

we have exactly

$$|C_G(x_1)| \cdot |C_G(x_2)| \cdot \cdots \cdot |C_G(x_n)|$$

different equations

$$(a_1a_2)(a_3a_4)\cdots(a_{2n-1}a_{2n}) = x_1x_2\cdots x_n =$$
$$z = x_1'x_2'\cdots x_n' = (a_2a_1)(a_4a_3)\cdots(a_{2n}a_{2n-1}).$$

In other words,

$$|C_G(x_1)| \cdot |C_G(x_2)| \cdot \cdots \cdot |C_G(x_n)| = \frac{|G|^n}{|\Omega(x_1)| \cdot |\Omega(x_2)| \cdot \cdots \cdot |\Omega(x_n)|}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4\cdots a_{2n-1}a_{2n} = a_2a_1a_4a_3\cdots a_{2n}a_{2n-1}) =$$
$$\sum_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n,j=1}^{c(G)} \frac{|\Omega_j| \cdot c^2_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n;j}(G)}{|\Omega_{i_1}| \cdot |\Omega_{i_2}| \cdot \cdots \cdot |\Omega_{i_n}| \cdot |G|^n}.$$

There are $c_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n;j}(G)$ different ways to break z as $x_1x_2\cdots x_n$ and $c_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n;j}(G)$ different ways to break z as $x_1'x_2'\cdots x_n'$. Thus, for each n-tuple $\Omega_{i_1}, \Omega_{i_2}, ..., \Omega_{i_n}$ of conjugacy classes of G, there are $c^2_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n;j}(G)$ different equations

$$x_1x_2\cdots x_n = z = x_1'x_2'\cdots x_n'$$

with $x_t, x_t' \in \Omega_{i_j}$ for all t. Since both

$$\phi = \langle 2n \;\; 2n-1 \;\; ... \;\; 1\rangle$$

and

$$\theta = \langle 2 \;\; 1 \;\; 4 \;\; 3 \;\; ... \;\; 2n \;\; 2n-1\rangle$$

have exactly one alternating cycle in their cycles graphs $Gr(\phi)$ and $Gr(\theta)$, respectively, from Theorem 4.10 we deduce

$$Pr(a_1a_2\cdots a_{2n} = a_{2n}a_{2n-1}\cdots a_1) =$$
$$Pr(a_1a_2a_3a_4\cdots a_{2n-1}a_{2n} = a_2a_1a_4a_3\cdots a_{2n}a_{2n-1}) =$$
$$\sum_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n,j=1}^{c(G)} \frac{|\Omega_j|\cdot c^2_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_n;j}(G)}{|\Omega_{i_1}|\cdot|\Omega_{i_2}|\cdot\cdots\cdot|\Omega_{i_n}|\cdot|G|^n}.$$

■

6 Conclusions and Future Work

Now, after giving an explicit formula for $Pr^{2n}(G)$, we recall some known results connecting $Pr^{2n}(G)$ to the commutator subgroup G', the quotient $G/Z(G)$, and isoclinism of groups.

Theorem 6.1 *[7] $lim_{n\to\infty}Pr^{2n}(G) = \frac{1}{|G'|}$.*

Theorem 6.2 *[7] Let G_1 and G_2 two finite groups such that G_1 and G_2 are isoclinic, then $Pr^{2n}(G_1) = Pr^{2n}(G_2)$ for every $n \geq 0$.*

For example, every two abelian groups are isoclinic, and $Pr^{2n}(G) = 1$ for every abelian group. Moreover, the two non-abelian groups of order 8, namely the Dihedral group D_4 and the Quaternion group Q_8 are isoclinic, and we mentioned $Pr^{2n}(D_4) = Pr^{2n}(Q_8)$ for every $n \geq 0$.

Now, notice that the opposite direction of the second result is not true. There exists two groups G_1 and G_2 where both of them have order 64 such that $Pr^{2n}(G_1) = Pr^{2n}(G_2)$ for every $n \geq 0$, but G_1 and G_2 are not isoclinic.

$$G_1 = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 : a_1^2 = a_4, a_2^2 = a_3^2 = a_5^2 = a_6^2 = 1, a_4^2 = a_6,$$
$$[a_1, a_2] = a_3, [a_1, a_3] = [a_2, a_4] = a_5, [a_1, a_4] = [a_1, a_5] = [a_1, a_6] =$$
$$[a_2, a_3] = [a_2, a_5] = [a_2, a_6] = [a_3, a_4] = [a_3, a_5] = [a_3, a_6] =$$
$$[a_4, a_5] = [a_4, a_6] = [a_5, a_6] = 1\rangle.$$

$$G_2 = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 : a_1^2 = a_2^2 = a_3^2 = a_4^2 = a_5^2 = a_6^2 = 1,$$
$$[a_1, a_2] = a_6, [a_1, a_3] = [a_2, a_4] = a_5, [a_1, a_4] = [a_1, a_5] = [a_1, a_6] =$$
$$[a_2, a_3] = [a_2, a_5] = [a_2, a_6] = [a_3, a_4] = [a_3, a_5] = [a_3, a_6] =$$
$$[a_4, a_5] = [a_4, a_6] = [a_5, a_6] = 1\rangle.$$

G_1 and G_2 are not isoclinic (even not weakly!) since $G_1/Z(G_1)$ is non-abelian group of order 16, and $G_2/Z(G_2)$ is the elementary abelian group of order 16. Therefore, $G_1/Z(G_1)$ can not be isomorphic to $G_2/Z(G_2)$, although $|G_1/Z(G_1)| = |G_2/Z(G_2)|$.

By Theorem 6.1 we know that if $Pr^{2n}(G_1) = Pr^{2n}(G_2)$ for every $n \geq 0$, then $|G_1'| = |G_2'|$. It motivates the following.

Conjecture 6.3 *Let G_1 and G_2 are two finite groups such that $Pr^{2n}(G_1) = Pr^{2n}(G_2)$ for every $n \geq 0$, then $|G_1/Z(G_1)| = |G_2/Z(G_2)|$.*

The groups G_2 and $G_3 = D_8 \times D_8$ give a counterexample to the opposite direction of Conjecture 6.3.

Claim 6.4 *G_2 and $G_3 = D_8 \times D_8$ are two groups of order 64 that are weakly isoclinic but not isoclinic.*

Proof. Direct calculations show that $G_2/Z(G_2)$ is isomorphic to $G_3/Z(G_3)$, G_2' is isomorphic to G_3'.

On the other hand, $Pr^2(G_2) = \frac{22}{64}$ and $Pr^2(G_3) = \frac{25}{64}$. Hence, by Theorem 6.2 G_2 is not isoclinic to G_3. ■

References

[1] V. Bafna and P. A. Pevzner, *Sorting by transpositions*, SIAM Journal of Discrete Mathematics **11** (1998) 224-240.

[2] S. R. Blackburn, J. R. Britnell and M. Wildon, *The probability that a pair of elements of a finite group are conjugate*, Journal of the London Mathemaical Society, **86** (2012) 755-778.

[3] S. M. Buckley, *Isoclinism and weak isoclinism invariants*, (2014), available online at http://archive.maths.nuim.ie/staff/sbuckley/Papers/gp_isoc.pdf

[4] Y. Cherniavsky, A. Goldstein and V. E. Levit, *Groups of balanced labelings on graphs*, Discrete Mathematics **320** (2014) 15-25.

[5] C. Clifton, D. Guichard, P. Keef, *How commutative are direct products of dihedral groups*. Mathematics Magazine, **84** (2011) 137-140.

[6] A. K. Das and R. K. Nath, *A generalization of commutativity degree of finite groups*, Commutative Algebra **40** (2012) 1974-1981.

[7] A. K. Das and R. K. Nath, *A survey on the estimation of commutativity in finite groups*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics **37** (2013) 161-180.

[8] J. Dixon, *Probabilistic group theory*, C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **24** (2002) 1-15.

[9] J. P. Doignon and A. Labarre, *On Hultman Numbers*, Journal of Integer Sequences **10** (2007) Article 07.6.2.

[10] P. Erdös and E. G. Straus, *How abelian is a finite group?*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **3** (1976) 307-312.

[11] P. Erdös and P. Turan, *On some problems of statistical group theory*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **19** (1968) 413-435.

[12] I. V. Erovenko and B. Surg, *Commutativity degrees of wreath products of finite abelian groups*, Bulletin of Australian Mathematical Society **77** (2008) 31-36.

[13] W. H. Guftafson, *What is the probability that two group elements are commute?*, American Mathematical Monthly **80** (1973) 1031-1034.

[14] R. M. Guralnick and G. R. Robinson, *On the commuting probability in finite groups*, Journal of Algebra **300** (2006) 509-528.

[15] P. Hall, *The classification of prime-power groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **182** (1940) 130-141.

[16] U. Jezernik and P. Moravec, *Universal commutator relations, Bogomolov multipliers, and commuting probability*, E-print available online at http://arxiv.org/pdf/1307.6533.pdf.

[17] P. Lescot, *Central extensions and commutativity degrees*, Communications in Algebra **29** (2001) 4451-4460.

[18] P. Lescot, H. N. Nguyen and Y. Yang, *On the commuting probability and supersolvadility of finite groups*, Monatsh Math. 174 (2014) 567–576.

[19] R. K. Nath and A.K. Das, *On generalized commutativity degree of a finite group*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics **41** (2011) 1987-2000.

[20] M. R. Pournakia nd R. Sobhani, *Probability that the commutator of two group elements is equal to a given element*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **212** (2008) 727-734.